



Monroe County &



Monroe County Bar Association

Assigned Counsel Program 1999 Annual Report

Monroe County

Jack Doyle, County Executive

Gary Pence, Director of Public Safety

Richard W. Youngman, Esq., ACP Administrator

Monroe County Bar Association

Thomas N. Trevett, Esq., President

Hon. John J. Connell, Advisory Committee Chair

March 17, 2000

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	1
<i>"To Provide Quality Representation"</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases"</i>	<i>2</i>
<i>"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers"</i>	<i>3</i>
<i>"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services"</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts"</i>	<i>5</i>
2000 Goals.....	5
1999 APPROVED PANEL LIST	6
PANEL ATTORNEYS ADDED IN 1999	10
ATTORNEYS RESIGNED SINCE 1996	11
TOTAL CASES REFERRED BY PANEL 1995-99¹	13
1999 ASSIGNMENTS	14
1999 ABC FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	15
1999 DE FELONY ASSIGNMENTS	18
1999 MISDEMEANOR ASSIGNMENTS	22
1999 FAMILY COURT ASSIGNMENTS	28
1999 CASE DISTRIBUTION GRAPHS	32
1999 TABLE OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	34
GRAPHS OF PROGRAM USE BY JUDICIARY	38
TYPES OF CASES ASSIGNED IN 1999¹	40
1999 CASE COSTS BY PANEL¹	46
1999 COSTS BY CASE DISPOSITION	46

Introduction

1999 was the eighth year the Assigned Counsel Program operated in all courts. The following statistical information shows the Program's relative success in meeting the goals of the Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments as stated below.

"The objectives of this conflict assignments plan are to provide quality representation to eligible indigent defendants or other litigants in those cases where the Public Defender has a conflict of interest; to provide a coordinated and centralized assignment system for conflict cases arising in the courts specified in Article IV herein, to provide a more equitable distribution of conflict assignments among lawyers; to attract more lawyers willing to handle conflict assignments, to maintain uniform and proper billing practices; to ensure cost accountability of services, and to provide increased efficiency for the courts by making qualified attorneys more readily available to handle conflict cases." *Monroe County Bar Association Sponsored Plan for Conflict Assignments*, ARTICLE II. Plan Objectives

"To Provide Quality Representation"

The Program received twelve complaints involving questionable, unethical or illegal conduct by participating attorneys. This is down from twenty-one in 1998. Clients were the source of all complaints. For the first time, the Monroe County Bar Association referred no complaints filed by clients. The Program has jurisdiction over open cases only. After investigation, the Assigned Counsel Program closed all complaints with no action taken against the attorney. All complaints were disposed of either by the attorney and client reaching a mutually acceptable understanding or by the attorney's withdrawal from the case with the permission of the court. The Program removed no attorney from the panel lists due to suspension or disbarment by the Appellate Division.

All complaints required only administrative action. No referral to the Assigned Counsel Program Review Committee was necessary. As in past years, failure of the attorney to maintain contact with incarcerated clients was the most frequent complaint. Failure of the attorney to appear prepared to proceed was again the most frequent complaint from the courts.

In response to the declining number of felony panel attorneys and to increase the skill, quality and knowledge of the panel attorneys, the Assigned Counsel Program implemented a Trial Mentor Program whereby attorneys with less experience can serve as associate counsel with more experienced trial counsel on felony cases. By doing so, the attorneys not only gain valuable experience, but also are able to move up to higher assignment panels on completion of four trials as associate counsel. The Program recruited and established a panel of experienced attorneys willing to serve as mentors. The Program posts scheduled trials on the web page and notifies

prospective associate counsel of upcoming trials. On completion of the trial, the Program obtains certification from the mentor and credits associate counsel with the trial experience.

The Program's Motion and Brief Bank now contains several hundred documents. Emphasis was switched in 1999 from developing a database for the documents to developing an on line, downloadable database of documents to be made available on the Assigned Counsel Program web site. Most of the available documents were scanned into digital form by late 1999 and the editing process is underway. Some documents are now available on the web site and the full complement of documents should be available by the end of 2000.

Throughout 1999 the Program sent the panel attorneys various bulletins, broadcast faxes, and newsletters informing the panel members of upcoming seminars and events and encouraging them to take advantage of the opportunities offered by these programs to increase their knowledge and legal skills.

The Monroe County Bar Association Continuing Legal Education requirements for Assigned Counsel panel members were amended in 1999 to correspond to the MCLE requirements for all New York attorneys. The Criminal Justice Committee of the Monroe County Bar Association sponsored two CLE programs during 1999 to facilitate compliance. In addition, the Assigned Counsel Program co-sponsored CLE seminars with the New York State Association of Criminal Defense Attorneys and the New York State Defenders Association. For the first time, in cooperation with the Volunteer Legal Services Project, the Assigned Counsel Program sponsored two free CLE programs for panel members.

Following meetings between the Administrator and the Assigned Counsel Advisory Committee, the Committee recommended requirements for the establishment of a separate Homicide panel. The Committee forwarded the proposal to the Monroe County Bar Association Board of Trustees for approval. The Bar Association adopted the requirements in 1999 and the Program is awaiting the final written requirements for formalization into the Plan.

"To Provide A Coordinated And Centralized Assignment System For Conflict Cases"

The Assigned Counsel Program is fully computerized. The Program enters all cases reported to it in a centralized database that tracks the representation from assignment through payment of the voucher. This system avoids duplication of representation by showing all open cases for a particular client thus insuring that there is a continuity of representation if the client is arrested on new charges. This system also promptly closes any case thus clearing any potential conflict of interest that the Public Defender might have in representing the client in future cases.

During 1998, the Program completed a yearlong process of replacing and upgrading the database platform to provide more and faster information. In 1999 significant improvements were made to the system and Year 2000 compliance was achieved ahead of schedule. Assignments referred by the courts continue to be assigned from a rotating list of available attorneys. The Program maintains it's success in insuring continuity of counsel where a client is re-arrested on new

charges even when the arrests span differing jurisdictions. Additionally, the Program continues to track conflict of interest information so that counsel is not unnecessarily assigned when the Public Defender could ethically continue. This process has been enhanced by the Public Defenders new case management system which better tracks potential conflicts of interest.

"To Provide A More Equitable Distribution Of Conflict Assignments Among Lawyers"

While not perfect, the Program does achieve a significant improvement over the previous system of assignment of conflict cases. The Program constantly strives for new and better systems to distribute assignments more equitably among the participating attorneys. In criminal cases, the current system is highly effective. For the first time in 1999, the Program experienced difficulty in maintaining an equitable distribution of cases among attorneys on the ABC felony panel. One direct cause of this is the reduction in the number of cases accepted by certain panel members. Many attorneys on the ABC panel significantly reduced the number of cases they accepted in 1999 from previous years. The most often cited reasons for this reduction are the low hourly rates and mandatory caps contained in Article 18-B of the County Law. Attorneys report that they feel constrained to accept serious cases that will required a great deal of time to handle properly where the hourly rate often does not even cover their office overhead. Also, the number of attorneys on the felony panels declined again in 1999. This situation resulted in several attorneys receiving a relatively high number of assignments during 1999 as compared with previous years. The reason for this is that those attorneys continued to accept all or most of the cases offered to them after other attorneys on the rotating list declined to accept the assignment.

Therefore, the reduction in both the number of attorneys accepting assignments and number of assignments accepted by attorneys who still take assignments, led to a situation where, for the first time, the most felony assignments for one individual attorney rose in 1999. Hopefully, establishment of the Trial Institute and an increase in the hourly rates paid to assigned counsel pursuant to Article 18-B of the County Law will address this problem.

While there are still several local criminal courts that do not fully utilize the services of the Program in the assignment of counsel, the Program was able in 1999 to better reduce the Program assignments to attorneys receiving a high number of direct assignments. This led to a more equitable distribution of misdemeanor cases than in prior years.

Equitable distribution of cases is less in Family Court cases, but showed improvement in 1999. A mechanism must be found to address the inequities in Family Court assignments. The fact that a very few attorneys receive the bulk of the assignments places an undue burden on those attorneys and can impact directly on the quality of representation. More training through the appropriate committee of the Bar Association is necessary to familiarize the panel attorneys with local Family Court practice. The panel requirements should be reviewed with the Family Court judges to see if they are stringent enough. Lack of confidence in the quality of representation is a possible factor in the Judges' reluctance to use the full services offered by the Assigned Counsel Program. A Family Court component of the Trial Institute might alleviate the concern of the

Family Court judges regarding the training of assigned counsel.

"To Attract More Lawyers Willing To Handle Conflict Assignments"

Included in this report is a list of new panel members added during 1999. In 1999, the Administrator spoke to newly admitted attorneys at a seminar sponsored by the Young Lawyers Committee of the Monroe County Bar Association to promote participation in the Assigned Counsel Program.

Although the Program takes every opportunity to remind the current panel members to apply for the more restrictive panels once they achieve the requisite qualifications, in 1999 the Program experienced a drop in the number of qualified felony attorneys. Due to retirement, relocation and an inability to continue taking assigned cases at the current statutory rates, attorneys resigned from the Assigned Counsel Program and took fewer assignments, especially in serious felony cases. The requirements for inclusion on a felony panel include having conducted a misdemeanor jury trial or participated in jury selection in such a case coupled with conduct of a bench trial. Since so few misdemeanor trials are held each year, it is difficult for an attorney to meet this requirement. The Trial Mentor Program and proposed Trial Institute will help address this problem.

During 1999, discussions started regarding a proposal to the Academy of Law for an intensive trial institute. This institute would substitute for the actual trial experience now required. This proposal to attract more attorneys requires action by the Board of Trustees of the Monroe County Bar Association to amend the Assigned Counsel Plan. It was decided at these meetings that the Hon. Joan S. Kohout, Monroe County Family Court Judge, in cooperation with the Administrator, would organize a seminar to gauge interest in such a program. One such program was held with over 60 attendees. Study of the proposed institute will continue in 2000.

In April 1999, the Assigned Counsel Program established a WestLaw account for use by approved panel members of the Program. The Program provides a computer, workspace and scheduling for any panel member that wishes to do legal research via WestLaw. The Program established procedures for reserving and utilizing research. This research capability should increase the efficiency of assigned counsel, improve the quality of representation and reduce costs.

"To Maintain Uniform And Proper Billing Practices And To Ensure Cost Accountability Of Services"

The Program continues to process a routine voucher and send it to the Judge within 48 hours of receipt. The Administrator reviews each voucher before processing to insure compliance with the voucher regulations and notifies each attorney of any noncompliance to educate the attorney on proper procedures. The review and notification help maintain proper and uniform billing

practices among the participating attorneys.

"To Provide Increased Efficiency For The Courts"

Those courts fully utilizing the services of the Assigned Counsel Program report a positive impact on the efficiency in obtaining assigned counsel in conflict cases. They report a significant decrease in the burden on the court staff in finding attorneys willing to accept assignments, a decrease in the number of phone calls necessary to contact an attorney for assignment, a decrease in the voucher processing time since the vouchers are now clearly labeled as to the matter and already reviewed with comments by the Administrator, and a prompt response from the Assigned Counsel Program in obtaining assigned counsel.

A yearlong project to upgrade and improve the Program's database system was completed in November 1998 and significant modifications were made in 1999. In addition, the Programs computer hardware and software systems were upgraded to be Year 2000 compliant well ahead of schedule. The Administrator upgraded and improved the Program's Internet site with better graphics and more information. More links to other sites of interest to panel members were added.

In 1992, the County Executives Office began a program of training in Total Quality Management for the employees of Monroe County. That training continues. This training increases the efficiency of the internal operation and makes the Program more responsive to the needs of the Courts and the participating attorneys. The Strategic Framework of the Assigned Counsel Program is complete and development and refinement of the key result measures continues.

2000 Goals

The following are the goals for 2000:

- Implementation of a Homicide panel when provided the criteria adopted by the Board if Trustees of the Monroe County Bar Association.
- In cooperation with the Academy of Law and the judiciary, continue studying the feasibility of establishing a comprehensive Trial Institute, attendance at which would entitle the participant to move from the felony to the misdemeanor panel.
- Editing of the documents scanned from the Motion and Brief Bank and posting of the documents on the Assigned Counsel web site.
- Expansion and improvement of the Assigned Counsel Program web site to accommodate secure document download. Also, implementation of a secure discussion forum so that panel attorneys can share information and ideas to better and more efficiently represent their clients.

- Scanning of more documents from the Motion and Brief.
- If sufficient interest exists, to prepare and submit a proposal for a mentoring program as an alternative method of meeting the requirements for inclusion on the felony panels.

1999 Approved Panel List¹

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Aramini, Mary E.	Annechino, John A.	Adolph, Darylann Condello	Adolph, Darylann Condello
Arnold, Michael H.	Aramini, Mary E.	Affronti, Lorna R.	Album, Betsy L.
Aureli, Daniel L.	Arnold, Michael H.	Album, Betsy L.	Alexander, Christopher M.
Barr, Culver K.	Aureli, Daniel L.	Alexander, Christopher M.	Annechino, John A.
Bertram, Dudley M.	Barr, Culver K.	Anderson, Christopher	Aramini, Mary E.
Bitetti, Gary	Bertram, Dudley M.	Annechino, John A.	Arnold, Michael H.
Bourtis, Eftihia	Bitetti, Gary	Aramini, Mary E.	Aureli, Daniel L.
Broder, Howard K.	Bourtis, Eftihia	Arena, Patrick M.	Bernacki, Jr., John E.
Brown, J. Raymond	Broder, Howard K.	Arnold, Michael H.	Bertram, Dudley M.
Burke, Adrian J.	Brown, J. Raymond	Aureli, Daniel L.	Broder, Howard K.
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Burke, Adrian J.	Bernacki, Jr., John E.	Bryant, Kevin C.
Coia, Richard	Cianca, Mark F.	Bertram, Dudley M.	Buettner, Brian C.
Conaty, Jr., George W.	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	Bitetti, Gary	Callanan, Karen Smith
Crimi, Joseph P.	Coia, Richard	Black, Nicole	Castellano, June
Damelio, Joseph S.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Bourtis, Eftihia	Colombo, Jeanne M.
Daniele, Anthony	Conaty, Jr., George W.	Broder, Howard K.	Cooper, Jennie M.
DeJohn, Timothy W.	Crimi, Joseph P.	Brown, J. Raymond	Costello, Paul Keely
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Damelio, Joseph S.	Bryant, Kevin C.	Crimi, Joseph P.
Egger, Jan P.	Daniele, Anthony	Buettner, Brian C.	D'Arpino, John Joseph
Farrell, Barbara E.	Degnan, Peter J.	Burke, Adrian J.	DeJohn, Timothy W.
Funk, Mark D.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Chait, Mitchell A.	Dimassimo, James D.
Garretson, Scott A.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Cianca, Mark F.	Dinolfo, Joseph F.

¹ Attorneys who were on the panel for the entire year 1999.

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Getz, Jon P.	Egger, Jan P.	Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	DiSalvo, Thomas J.
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Farrell, Barbara E.	Coia, Richard	Dunn, Andrew S.
Hinman, James S.	Flowerday, Michael D.	Colombo, Jeanne M.	Egger, Jan P.
Holliday, Billie D.	Funk, Mark D.	Cooper, Jennie M.	Enos, Gregory E.
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Garretson, Scott A.	Crimi, Joseph P.	Farr, William H.
Krane, Joel N.	Getz, Jon P.	Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	Farrell, Barbara E.
Kristal, Peter L.	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	D'Amanda, Richard	Fazio, Jennifer L.
Lappan, James	Hinman, James S.	Daniele, Anthony	Feldman, Sammy
Leegant, Jo Anne	Holliday, Billie D.	D'Arpino, John Joseph	Flowerday, Michael D.
Lewis, Herbert J.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Dedes, William C.	Funk, Mark D.
Maggio, Frank G.	Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Degnan, Peter J.	Gaesser, David A.
Mastrella, Daniel J.	Krane, Joel N.	DeJohn, Timothy W.	Gladstone, Katherine
Morabito, David R.	Kristal, Peter L.	Dimassimo, James D.	Goel, Vinita
Muldoon, Gary	Lappan, James	Dinolfo, Joseph F.	Gravitz, Jennifer L.
Murante, David A.	Leegant, Jo Anne	DiSalvo, Thomas J.	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.
Murray, D. Michael	Lester, Frederick	Donaher, Timothy P.	Hinman, James S.
Napier, Robert A.	Lewis, Herbert J.	Doyle, Daniel J.	Holliday, Billie D.
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Maggio, Frank G.	Egger, Jan P.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.
Palmiere, David M.	Mastrella, Daniel J.	Epstein, Robert	Indivino, Deborah A.
Perez, Gilbert R.	Merante, Vincent E.	Farrell, Barbara E.	Ingersoll, Timothy E.
Pilato, Louis P.	Meyer, Daniel J.	Fletcher, Jere B.	Jain, Rekha
Pineau, Maureen A.	Morabito, David R.	Flowerday, Michael D.	Kantor, Theodore S.
Pullano, Peter J.	Morabito, Michael A.	Funk, Mark D.	Karatas, Nigos
Regan, Jr., John M.	Muldoon, Gary	Gaesser, David A.	Kasperek, Lawrence L.
Regan, Michael J.	Murante, David A.	Garretson, Scott A.	King, Jr., William H.
Renzi, Alexander R.	Murray, D. Michael	Getz, Jon P.	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.
Rizzo, James J.	Napier, James A.	Gladstone, Katherine	Kristal, Peter L.
Salamone, Jr., James D.	Nesser, Joseph G.	Goel, Vinita	Lahman, Janice A.
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Goldman, Ronald S.	Lappan, James
Schiano, Michael P.	Owens, David L.	Hanlon, Garry Stephen	Laragy, Christopher J.
Shapiro, Robert A.	Palmiere, David M.	Hardies, Robert M.	Leegant, Jo Anne

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Shulman, Brian J.	Parks, Anthony	Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	Leichtner, Edward J.
Tallon, Michael J.	Perez, Gilbert R.	Hinman, James S.	Lester, Frederick
Thomas, Christopher D.	Pilato, Louis P.	Holliday, Billie D.	Levitsky, Steven Brian
Thompson, Donald M.	Pineau, Maureen A.	Hurwitz, Phillip R.	Levitt, Glenn R.
Tuohey, Michael J.	Pullano, Peter J.	Infantino, Marc	Lewis, Herbert J.
Vacca, James P.	Regan, Jr., John M.	Ingersoll, Timothy E.	Merante, RoseMaria
Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	Regan, Michael J.	Jain, Rekha	Meyer, Daniel J.
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	Renzi, Alexander R.	Karatas, Nigos	Misseritti, Giuliana
	Rizzo, James J.	Khuns, Kevin M.	Morabito, David R.
	Salamone, Jr., James D.	Komaneky, Bradley W.	Morabito, Michael A.
	Schiano, Christopher	Kosoff-Roth, Karen L.	Morris, Lisa B.
	Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	Krane, Joel N.	Muldoon, Gary
	Schiano, Michael P.	Krieger, Lawrence J.	Murch, David R.
	Shapiro, Robert A.	Kristal, Peter L.	Nesser, Joseph G.
	Shulman, Brian J.	LaBue, Eugene P.	Nicosia, Edward G.
	Solomon, R. Adrian	LaCelle, Erik C.	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.
	Stacy, Michael P.	LaDuca, Anthony	Offen, Alan L.
	Tallon, Michael J.	LaDuca, John J.	Owens, David L.
	Thomas, Christopher D.	Lahman, Janice A.	Palmiere, David M.
	Thompson, Donald M.	Lappan, James	Parks, Anthony
	Tuohey, Michael J.	Laragy, Christopher J.	Pennica, Kenneth L.
	Vacca, James P.	Leegant, Jo Anne	Perez, Gilbert R.
	Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	Lester, Frederick	Pilato, Louis P.
	Winward, Thomas M.V.	Levitsky, Steven Brian	Plovanich, Charles
	Wisner, Todd J.W.	Maggio, Frank G.	Proano, Galo M.
	Wood, Robert W.	Mastrella, Daniel J.	Renzi, Alexander R.
	Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	Merante, Vincent E.	Ryan, Diana Deyo
		Meyer, Daniel J.	Sadinsky, Lisa A.
		Montemalo, Frank G.	Salamone, Jr., James D.
		Morabito, David R.	Sammons, Elizabeth A.
		Morabito, Michael A.	Scatigno, John M.

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
		Muldoon, Gary	Schell, George A.
		Murante, David A.	Schiano, Margaret A.
		Murray, D. Michael	Sekharan, Raja N.
		Napier, James A.	Shulman, Brian J.
		Nesser, Joseph G.	Snodgrass, Cynthia L.
		Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	St. George, Robert J.
		O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	Stacy, Michael P.
		O'Toole, Keith	Teator, Mary E.
		Owens, David L.	Thomas, Christopher D.
		Palmiere, David M.	Thompson, Donald M.
		Parks, Anthony	Tuohey, Michael J.
		Parrinello, J. Matthew	Vacca, James P.
		Pennica, Kenneth L.	West, John R.
		Perez, Gilbert R.	Wisner, Todd J.W.
		Pilato, Louis P.	Zaretsky, Allen J.
		Pineau, Maureen A.	Zunno, Harriet L.
		Proano, Galo M.	
		Pullano, Peter J.	
		Redmond, Gregg H.	
		Renzi, Alexander R.	
		Rizzo, James J.	
		Rosner, Leonard A.	
		Sadinsky, Lisa A.	
		Salamone, Jr., James D.	
		Santariello, Michael	
		Schiano, Christopher	
		Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	
		Schiano, Michael P.	
		Scibetta, Michael P.	
		Sekharan, Raja N.	
		Shanahan, Paul F.	

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
		Shapiro, Robert A.	
		Shepard, John V.	
		Shulman, Brian J.	
		Solomon, R. Adrian	
		Stacy, Michael P.	
		Stefaniak, David A.	
		Summers, Reed Noble	
		Tallon, Michael J.	
		Teator, Mary E.	
		Thomas, Christopher D.	
		Thompson, Donald M.	
		Tuohey, Michael J.	
		Vacca, James P.	
		Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	
		Vogt, Eric J.	
		West, John R.	
		Winward, Thomas M.V.	
		Wisner, Todd J.W.	
		Wood, Robert W.	

Panel Attorneys Added in 1999

ABC Felony	DE Felony	Misdemeanor	Family Court
Bourtis, Eftihia	Annechino, John A.	Alexander, Christopher M.	Alexander, Christopher M.
Funk, Mark D.	Bourtis, Eftihia	Arena, Patrick M.	Castellano, June
Holliday, Billie D.	Holliday, Billie D.	Black, Nicole	Kasperek, Lawrence L.
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Kasperek, Lawrence L.	Bourtis, Eftihia	King, Jr., William H.
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	Parrinello, J. Matthew	Levitt, Glenn R.
Palmiere, David M.	Shulman, Brian J.	Shulman, Brian J.	Shulman, Brian J.
Shulman, Brian J.	Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	Stefaniak, David A.	Snodgrass, Cynthia L.
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.			


Attorneys Resigned Since 1996

Year Resigned	Attorney
1996	Essley, Peter A.
	King, Roy W.
	Martinez, Raul Emilio
	Rain, Mary E.
1997	Affronti, Francis C.
	Beiderbecke, Edward J.
	Dever, Richard
	Hamilton, Lise
	Jones, IV, Henry W.
	King, David C.
	Labowitz, Daniel
	Levine, Gary M.
	Obiajulu, Anthony
	Redfield, Christine F.
	Spaulding, N. Lee
1998	Abate, Jeffrey J.
	Brylski, Mark D.
	Cumbo, James
	Doran, Michael R.
	Evans, Richard J.
	Falk, Jr., Terrance Albert
	Gallagher, John B., Jr.
	Hartwig, Thomas M.
	Kehoe, Sharon M.
	Litteer, Philip A.
	MacBride, April Walker
	Mazzo, Alecia J.
	Metzler, Eric J.

Year Resigned	Attorney
1999	Miller, Stephen T.
	O'Brien, Connor
	Powell, Tracy A.
	Regenstreif, Jeffrey G.
	Rowe, Douglas E.
	Scribner, Peter
	Solomon, Thomas Jay
	Turner, Robert P.
	Walsh, Jr., James E.
	Campbell, Paula A.
	Dick, Andrew J.
	Freeman, Bruce F.
	Green, Scott M.
	Grosso, James C.
	LaGrou, Stephen E.
	Lamutis, Donald F.
	Lohner, Linda T.
	Mort, Lawrence J.D.
	Murante, Gerald S.
	Stiller, Sharon P.
Testa, Charles R.	
Young, D. Scott	

Total Cases Referred by Panel 1995-99¹

Panel	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999
ABC Felony	640	605	557	646	742
DE Felony	365	366	420	409	383
Misdemeanor	1271	1425	1398	1444	1566
Family Court	1051	919	1032	1023	1075
Appellate	26	22	20	77	74
Probation/Parole	160	139	162	193	190
Other	32	46	47	39	32
Total	3545	3522	3636	3831	4062



1. Does not represent number of assignments made, only initial cases referred for assignment.

1999 Assignments

The following charts show the assignments each attorney received in 1999. The number of assignments is lower than the number of cases referred because we do not count an assignment in the rotation where an attorney is assigned to the same client for a new case that is from a panel equal to or less than the first opened case for that client. Also, in some cases no attorney is assigned because we discover that there is no conflict of interest and the Public Defender continues with that case.

Several factors should be considered in looking at these tables. First, approved panel members receive more assignments than non-approved panel members do. Some of the attorneys with a low number of assignments are non-approved panel members. Most often, such an attorney is court assigned. Secondly, those attorneys gaining membership on a panel for the first time during 1999 will have fewer assignments in that panel because they were not on the panel for an entire year. Thirdly, an increasing number of attorneys declined a significant number of assignments or requested removal from the Program for long periods of time during 1999, especially the attorneys on the ABC felony panel. While the report includes non-approved attorneys, new panel members, attorneys declining appointments, and attorneys temporarily removed from panels at their own request, concentrating on those members who participated for the full year as approved members of a particular panel gives a truer picture of the equitable distribution of assignments.

The success of the program in achieving equitable distribution of cases is excellent even when including all the attorneys. It is exceptional when concentrating on attorneys who started the year on a particular panel. The last column of each table contains a running percentage of the total assignments. The more attorneys listed in a table before the percentage reaches fifty suggests a more equitable case distribution in that panel. In each panel, except Family Court, the percentage does not reach fifty until the table lists a significant number of attorneys.

Of particular significance in this report is the fact that in the criminal courts, where the Assigned Counsel Program assigns a large percentage of cases, there is a more even distribution of assignments. This is attributable to the fact that, by comparison, Family Court has a much lower percentage of cases assigned by the Assigned Counsel Program. Most assignments are directly by the court. Attaining more equitable distribution of cases is difficult, if not impossible. The Administrator and Advisory Committee must work diligently to provide a workable solution to the inequities of the Family Court assignments. Looking at the last column of the tables, it takes significantly fewer attorneys for the Family Court assignments to reach a high percentage than it does for the criminal court assignments.

1999 ABC Felony Assignments²

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Shapiro, Robert A.	34	1	35	5.31%	35	5.31%
Hinman, James S.	28	1	29	4.40%	64	9.71%
Krane, Joel N.	24	4	28	4.25%	92	13.96%
Vacca, James P.	24	3	27	4.10%	119	18.06%
Garretson, Scott A.	23	2	25	3.79%	144	21.85%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	24	0	24	3.64%	168	25.49%
Schiano, Michael P.	19	5	24	3.64%	192	29.14%
Pilato, Louis P.	20	3	23	3.49%	215	32.63%
Kristal, Peter L.	21	1	22	3.34%	237	35.96%
Barr, Culver K.	16	5	21	3.19%	258	39.15%
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	13	5	18	2.73%	276	41.88%
Funk, Mark D.	17	0	17	2.58%	293	44.46%
Farrell, Barbara E.	15	1	16	2.43%	309	46.89%
Aramini, Mary E.	15	0	15	2.28%	324	49.17%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	14	1	15	2.28%	339	51.44%
Crimi, Joseph P.	13	1	14	2.12%	353	53.57%
Thompson, Donald M.	13	1	14	2.12%	367	55.69%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	10	3	13	1.97%	380	57.66%
Lewis, Herbert J.	13	0	13	1.97%	393	59.64%
Murray, D. Michael	12	1	13	1.97%	406	61.61%
Rizzo, James J.	11	2	13	1.97%	419	63.58%

² New assignments only. Does not include reassignment to same client for same level or lower level crime.

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Brown, J. Raymond	12	0	12	1.82%	431	65.40%
Leegant, Jo Anne	12	0	12	1.82%	443	67.22%
Perez, Gilbert R.	12	0	12	1.82%	455	69.04%
Aureli, Daniel L.	11	0	11	1.67%	466	70.71%
Brown, James E.	10	1	11	1.67%	477	72.38%
Egger, Jan P.	11	0	11	1.67%	488	74.05%
Lappan, James	9	2	11	1.67%	499	75.72%
Morabito, David R.	11	0	11	1.67%	510	77.39%
Bourtis, Eftihia	10	0	10	1.52%	520	78.91%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	7	3	10	1.52%	530	80.42%
Damelio, Joseph S.	5	4	9	1.37%	539	81.79%
Murante, David A.	6	3	9	1.37%	548	83.16%
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	9	0	9	1.37%	557	84.52%
Pullano, Peter J.	6	2	8	1.21%	565	85.74%
Renzi, Alexander R.	7	1	8	1.21%	573	86.95%
Merante, Vincent E.	1	6	7	1.06%	580	88.01%
Bertram, Dudley M.	6	0	6	0.91%	586	88.92%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	6	0	6	0.91%	592	89.83%
Tuohey, Michael J.	6	0	6	0.91%	598	90.74%
Bitetti, Gary	3	1	4	0.61%	602	91.35%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	4	0	4	0.61%	606	91.96%
Daniele, Anthony	2	2	4	0.61%	610	92.56%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	4	0	4	0.61%	614	93.17%
Burke, Adrian J.	3	0	3	0.46%	617	93.63%
Getz, Jon P.	3	0	3	0.46%	620	94.08%
Holliday, Billie D.	3	0	3	0.46%	623	94.54%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Muldoon, Gary	1	2	3	0.46%	626	94.99%
Rose, Angelo A.	0	3	3	0.46%	629	95.45%
Shulman, Brian J.	3	0	3	0.46%	632	95.90%
Green, Scott M.	0	2	2	0.30%	634	96.21%
Palmiere, David M.	2	0	2	0.30%	636	96.51%
Schiano, Christopher	0	2	2	0.30%	638	96.81%
Testa, Charles R.	2	0	2	0.30%	640	97.12%
Black, Nicole	0	1	1	0.15%	641	97.27%
Buettner, Brian C.	1	0	1	0.15%	642	97.42%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	1	0	1	0.15%	643	97.57%
Dedes, William C.	1	0	1	0.15%	644	97.72%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	1	0	1	0.15%	645	97.88%
Epstein, Robert	1	0	1	0.15%	646	98.03%
Gladstone, Katherine	1	0	1	0.15%	647	98.18%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	0	1	1	0.15%	648	98.33%
Karatas, Nigos	0	1	1	0.15%	649	98.48%
Lembke, Matthew R.	1	0	1	0.15%	650	98.63%
Lester, Frederick	1	0	1	0.15%	651	98.79%
Maggio, Frank G.	1	0	1	0.15%	652	98.94%
Murante, Gerald S.	1	0	1	0.15%	653	99.09%
Nacca, John	1	0	1	0.15%	654	99.24%
Owens, David L.	1	0	1	0.15%	655	99.39%
Pineau, Maureen A.	1	0	1	0.15%	656	99.54%
Regan, Michael J.	1	0	1	0.15%	657	99.70%
Salzer, Karl F.	0	1	1	0.15%	658	99.85%
West, John R.	0	1	1	0.15%	659	100.00%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Total	580	79	659			
Average	8	1	9			

1999 DE Felony Assignments³

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	7	7	14	4.47%	14	4.47%
Hinman, James S.	10	2	12	3.83%	26	8.31%
Owens, David L.	11	0	11	3.51%	37	11.82%
Krane, Joel N.	7	3	10	3.19%	47	15.02%
Aureli, Daniel L.	8	1	9	2.88%	56	17.89%
Merante, Vincent E.	4	5	9	2.88%	65	20.77%
Pilato, Louis P.	7	2	9	2.88%	74	23.64%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	6	3	9	2.88%	83	26.52%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	7	0	7	2.24%	90	28.75%
Garretson, Scott A.	7	0	7	2.24%	97	30.99%
Kristal, Peter L.	6	1	7	2.24%	104	33.23%
Morabito, David R.	6	1	7	2.24%	111	35.46%
Rose, Angelo A.	1	6	7	2.24%	118	37.70%
Brown, J. Raymond	6	0	6	1.92%	124	39.62%
Crimi, Joseph P.	6	0	6	1.92%	130	41.53%
Funk, Mark D.	6	0	6	1.92%	136	43.45%

³ New assignments only. Does not include reassignment to same client for same level or lower level crime.

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Vacca, James P.	6	0	6	1.92%	142	45.37%
Aramini, Mary E.	5	0	5	1.60%	147	46.96%
Farrell, Barbara E.	5	0	5	1.60%	152	48.56%
LaDuca, Anthony	0	5	5	1.60%	157	50.16%
Schiano, Michael P.	5	0	5	1.60%	162	51.76%
Thompson, Donald M.	5	0	5	1.60%	167	53.35%
Barr, Culver K.	1	3	4	1.28%	171	54.63%
Lester, Frederick	4	0	4	1.28%	175	55.91%
Murante, Gerald S.	4	0	4	1.28%	179	57.19%
Palmiere, David M.	3	1	4	1.28%	183	58.47%
Parks, Anthony	3	1	4	1.28%	187	59.74%
Perez, Gilbert R.	4	0	4	1.28%	191	61.02%
Schiano, Christopher	3	1	4	1.28%	195	62.30%
Shapiro, Robert A.	4	0	4	1.28%	199	63.58%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	4	0	4	1.28%	203	64.86%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	4	0	4	1.28%	207	66.13%
Bitetti, Gary	1	2	3	0.96%	210	67.09%
Bourtis, Eftihia	3	0	3	0.96%	213	68.05%
Brown, James E.	2	1	3	0.96%	216	69.01%
Burke, Adrian J.	3	0	3	0.96%	219	69.97%
Cianca, Mark F.	1	2	3	0.96%	222	70.93%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	2	1	3	0.96%	225	71.88%
Egger, Jan P.	3	0	3	0.96%	228	72.84%
Getz, Jon P.	1	2	3	0.96%	231	73.80%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	3	0	3	0.96%	234	74.76%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	3	0	3	0.96%	237	75.72%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Lappan, James	1	2	3	0.96%	240	76.68%
Leegant, Jo Anne	3	0	3	0.96%	243	77.64%
Napier, James A.	2	1	3	0.96%	246	78.59%
Renzi, Alexander R.	2	1	3	0.96%	249	79.55%
Rizzo, James J.	3	0	3	0.96%	252	80.51%
Stacy, Michael P.	3	0	3	0.96%	255	81.47%
Zimmermann, Jr., Clark J.	3	0	3	0.96%	258	82.43%
Conaty, Jr., George W.	2	0	2	0.64%	260	83.07%
Damelio, Joseph S.	1	1	2	0.64%	262	83.71%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	2	0	2	0.64%	264	84.35%
Epstein, Robert	0	2	2	0.64%	266	84.98%
Flowerday, Michael D.	2	0	2	0.64%	268	85.62%
Holliday, Billie D.	2	0	2	0.64%	270	86.26%
Khuns, Kevin M.	0	2	2	0.64%	272	86.90%
Lewis, Herbert J.	2	0	2	0.64%	274	87.54%
Maggio, Frank G.	2	0	2	0.64%	276	88.18%
Morabito, Michael A.	2	0	2	0.64%	278	88.82%
Murante, David A.	1	1	2	0.64%	280	89.46%
Murray, D. Michael	2	0	2	0.64%	282	90.10%
Pullano, Peter J.	1	1	2	0.64%	284	90.73%
Shulman, Brian J.	2	0	2	0.64%	286	91.37%
Solomon, R. Adrian	2	0	2	0.64%	288	92.01%
Tuohey, Michael J.	1	1	2	0.64%	290	92.65%
Wood, Robert W.	2	0	2	0.64%	292	93.29%
Bertram, Dudley M.	1	0	1	0.32%	293	93.61%
Black, Nicole	1	0	1	0.32%	294	93.93%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Buettner, Brian C.	0	1	1	0.32%	295	94.25%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	1	0	1	0.32%	296	94.57%
Corletta, Thomas A.	0	1	1	0.32%	297	94.89%
Daniele, Anthony	1	0	1	0.32%	298	95.21%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	0	1	1	0.32%	299	95.53%
Glaser, Alan	1	0	1	0.32%	300	95.85%
Lamutis, Donald F.	1	0	1	0.32%	301	96.17%
Lembke, Matthew R.	0	1	1	0.32%	302	96.49%
Muldoon, Gary	0	1	1	0.32%	303	96.81%
Nesser, Joseph G.	0	1	1	0.32%	304	97.12%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	1	0	1	0.32%	305	97.44%
Pineau, Maureen A.	1	0	1	0.32%	306	97.76%
Proano, Galo M.	1	0	1	0.32%	307	98.08%
Regan, Richard E.	0	1	1	0.32%	308	98.40%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	1	0	1	0.32%	309	98.72%
Santariello, Michael	1	0	1	0.32%	310	99.04%
Schell, George A., Jr.	0	1	1	0.32%	311	99.36%
West, John R.	1	0	1	0.32%	312	99.68%
Young, D. Scott	0	1	1	0.32%	313	100.00%
Total	242	71	313			
Average	3	1	4			

1999 Misdemeanor Assignments⁴

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Merante, Vincent E.	10	17	27	2.56%	27	2.56%
Garretson, Scott A.	14	4	18	1.70%	45	4.26%
Perez, Gilbert R.	16	2	18	1.70%	63	5.97%
Schiano, Christopher	9	9	18	1.70%	81	7.67%
Lappan, James	4	12	16	1.52%	97	9.19%
Aureli, Daniel L.	10	4	14	1.33%	111	10.51%
Hinman, James S.	13	1	14	1.33%	125	11.84%
LaDuca, Anthony	13	1	14	1.33%	139	13.16%
Owens, David L.	13	1	14	1.33%	153	14.49%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	7	7	14	1.33%	167	15.81%
Chait, Mitchell A.	12	1	13	1.23%	180	17.05%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	8	5	13	1.23%	193	18.28%
Pilato, Louis P.	12	1	13	1.23%	206	19.51%
Redmond, Gregg H.	11	2	13	1.23%	219	20.74%
Rose, Angelo A.	1	12	13	1.23%	232	21.97%
Schiano, Jr., Charles A.	12	1	13	1.23%	245	23.20%
West, John R.	9	4	13	1.23%	258	24.43%
Brown, J. Raymond	6	6	12	1.14%	270	25.57%
Crimi, Joseph P.	11	1	12	1.14%	282	26.70%
Gladstone, Katherine	9	3	12	1.14%	294	27.84%
Krane, Joel N.	12	0	12	1.14%	306	28.98%

⁴ New assignments only. Does not include reassignment to same client for same level or lower level crime.

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Parks, Anthony	9	3	12	1.14%	318	30.11%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	11	1	12	1.14%	330	31.25%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	5	6	11	1.04%	341	32.29%
Funk, Mark D.	10	1	11	1.04%	352	33.33%
Goldman, Ronald S.	10	1	11	1.04%	363	34.38%
LaDuca, John J.	9	2	11	1.04%	374	35.42%
Laragy, Christopher J.	11	0	11	1.04%	385	36.46%
Murante, Gerald S.	10	1	11	1.04%	396	37.50%
Palmiere, David M.	10	1	11	1.04%	407	38.54%
Scibetta, Michael P.	8	3	11	1.04%	418	39.58%
Annechino, John A.	8	2	10	0.95%	428	40.53%
Burke, Adrian J.	9	1	10	0.95%	438	41.48%
Crimi, Jr., Charles F.	10	0	10	0.95%	448	42.42%
D'Amanda, Richard	10	0	10	0.95%	458	43.37%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	10	0	10	0.95%	468	44.32%
Holliday, Billie D.	9	1	10	0.95%	478	45.27%
Levitsky, Steven Brian	7	3	10	0.95%	488	46.21%
Proano, Galo M.	10	0	10	0.95%	498	47.16%
Sekharan, Raja N.	10	0	10	0.95%	508	48.11%
Wood, Robert W.	9	1	10	0.95%	518	49.05%
Bryant, Kevin C.	9	0	9	0.85%	527	49.91%
Buettner, Brian C.	9	0	9	0.85%	536	50.76%
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	9	0	9	0.85%	545	51.61%
Gaesser, David A.	9	0	9	0.85%	554	52.46%
Getz, Jon P.	6	3	9	0.85%	563	53.31%
Ingersoll, Timothy E.	6	3	9	0.85%	572	54.17%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Kristal, Peter L.	7	2	9	0.85%	581	55.02%
Morabito, Michael A.	9	0	9	0.85%	590	55.87%
Parrinello, J. Matthew	6	3	9	0.85%	599	56.72%
Santariello, Michael	9	0	9	0.85%	608	57.58%
Anderson, Christopher	8	0	8	0.76%	616	58.33%
Brown, James E.	4	4	8	0.76%	624	59.09%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	8	0	8	0.76%	632	59.85%
Dimassimo, James D.	8	0	8	0.76%	640	60.61%
Hardies, Robert M.	8	0	8	0.76%	648	61.36%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	4	4	8	0.76%	656	62.12%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	8	0	8	0.76%	664	62.88%
LaCelle, Erik C.	7	1	8	0.76%	672	63.64%
Lester, Frederick	8	0	8	0.76%	680	64.39%
Maggio, Frank G.	8	0	8	0.76%	688	65.15%
Obiorah, Edwin S.C.	8	0	8	0.76%	696	65.91%
Pennica, Kenneth L.	8	0	8	0.76%	704	66.67%
Rizzo, James J.	8	0	8	0.76%	712	67.42%
Schiano, Michael P.	5	3	8	0.76%	720	68.18%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	8	0	8	0.76%	728	68.94%
Aramini, Mary E.	6	1	7	0.66%	735	69.60%
Bertram, Dudley M.	7	0	7	0.66%	742	70.27%
Cocuzzi, Thomas J.	7	0	7	0.66%	749	70.93%
Damelio, Joseph S.	3	4	7	0.66%	756	71.59%
Dedes, William C.	7	0	7	0.66%	763	72.25%
Farrell, Barbara E.	2	5	7	0.66%	770	72.92%
Fletcher, Jere B.	7	0	7	0.66%	777	73.58%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	2	5	7	0.66%	784	74.24%
Komanecy, Bradley W.	7	0	7	0.66%	791	74.91%
Lahman, Janice A.	7	0	7	0.66%	798	75.57%
Lamutis, Donald F.	7	0	7	0.66%	805	76.23%
Leegant, Jo Anne	7	0	7	0.66%	812	76.89%
Napier, James A.	6	1	7	0.66%	819	77.56%
O'Toole, Keith	7	0	7	0.66%	826	78.22%
Shapiro, Robert A.	7	0	7	0.66%	833	78.88%
Solomon, R. Adrian	7	0	7	0.66%	840	79.55%
Summers, Reed Noble	7	0	7	0.66%	847	80.21%
Vacca, James P.	6	1	7	0.66%	854	80.87%
Bitetti, Gary	5	1	6	0.57%	860	81.44%
Bourtis, Eftihia	4	2	6	0.57%	866	82.01%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	6	0	6	0.57%	872	82.58%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	6	0	6	0.57%	878	83.14%
Flowerday, Michael D.	5	1	6	0.57%	884	83.71%
Goel, Vinita	6	0	6	0.57%	890	84.28%
Jain, Rekha	6	0	6	0.57%	896	84.85%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	6	0	6	0.57%	902	85.42%
Morabito, David R.	4	2	6	0.57%	908	85.98%
Stacy, Michael P.	6	0	6	0.57%	914	86.55%
Egger, Jan P.	5	0	5	0.47%	919	87.03%
Epstein, Robert	5	0	5	0.47%	924	87.50%
Murante, David A.	4	1	5	0.47%	929	87.97%
O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	5	0	5	0.47%	934	88.45%
Renzi, Alexander R.	5	0	5	0.47%	939	88.92%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Snodgrass, Cynthia L.	4	1	5	0.47%	944	89.39%
Tuohey, Michael J.	5	0	5	0.47%	949	89.87%
Urbanski, Mark A.	4	1	5	0.47%	954	90.34%
Album, Betsy L.	4	0	4	0.38%	958	90.72%
Alexander, Christopher M.	4	0	4	0.38%	962	91.10%
Black, Nicole	4	0	4	0.38%	966	91.48%
Karatas, Nigos	4	0	4	0.38%	970	91.86%
Khuns, Kevin M.	4	0	4	0.38%	974	92.23%
LaBue, Eugene P.	4	0	4	0.38%	978	92.61%
Muldoon, Gary	0	4	4	0.38%	982	92.99%
Rosner, Leonard A.	4	0	4	0.38%	986	93.37%
Teator, Mary E.	4	0	4	0.38%	990	93.75%
Arena, Patrick M.	3	0	3	0.28%	993	94.03%
Barr, Culver K.	1	2	3	0.28%	996	94.32%
Daniele, Anthony	2	1	3	0.28%	999	94.60%
Merante, RoseMaria	3	0	3	0.28%	1002	94.89%
Murray, D. Michael	3	0	3	0.28%	1005	95.17%
Shepard, John V.	3	0	3	0.28%	1008	95.45%
Shulman, Brian J.	1	2	3	0.28%	1011	95.74%
Thompson, Donald M.	2	1	3	0.28%	1014	96.02%
Vogt, Eric J.	3	0	3	0.28%	1017	96.31%
Affronti, Lorna R.	1	1	2	0.19%	1019	96.50%
Cianca, Mark F.	0	2	2	0.19%	1021	96.69%
Coia, Richard	1	1	2	0.19%	1023	96.88%
Cooper, Jennie M.	2	0	2	0.19%	1025	97.06%
Dentino, Anthony A.	0	2	2	0.19%	1027	97.25%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Total	Running Total	Running %
Grosso, James C.	2	0	2	0.19%	1029	97.44%
LaGrou, Stephen E.	2	0	2	0.19%	1031	97.63%
Miller, Richard A.	0	2	2	0.19%	1033	97.82%
Nesser, Joseph G.	2	0	2	0.19%	1035	98.01%
Nobile, Michelle R.	0	2	2	0.19%	1037	98.20%
Pineau, Maureen A.	2	0	2	0.19%	1039	98.39%
Regan, Richard E.	0	2	2	0.19%	1041	98.58%
Alexson, Timothy L.	0	1	1	0.09%	1042	98.67%
Coletti, David R.	0	1	1	0.09%	1043	98.77%
Crowder, Debra A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1044	98.86%
Dick, Andrew J.	1	0	1	0.09%	1045	98.96%
Mahan, Francis B.	0	1	1	0.09%	1046	99.05%
McKeown, John F.	1	0	1	0.09%	1047	99.15%
Montemalo, Frank G.	1	0	1	0.09%	1048	99.24%
Muir, Richard B.	0	1	1	0.09%	1049	99.34%
Nacca, John	1	0	1	0.09%	1050	99.43%
Pullano, Peter J.	1	0	1	0.09%	1051	99.53%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	1	1	0.09%	1052	99.62%
Russi, Patrick K.	0	1	1	0.09%	1053	99.72%
Schell, George A., Jr.	0	1	1	0.09%	1054	99.81%
Spoto, David	0	1	1	0.09%	1055	99.91%
Vacca, Jr., Paul J.	1	0	1	0.09%	1056	100.00%
Total	855	201	1056			
Average	6	1	7			

1999 Family Court Assignments⁵

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Cases	Running Total	Running %
Brown, James E.	3	80	83	8.07%	83	8.07%
Lester, Frederick	4	70	74	7.20%	157	15.27%
Hilderbrandt, Randall D.	1	60	61	5.93%	218	21.21%
Funk, Mark D.	0	60	60	5.84%	278	27.04%
Karatas, Nigos	3	53	56	5.45%	334	32.49%
Sadinsky, Lisa A.	3	52	55	5.35%	389	37.84%
Perez, Gilbert R.	0	50	50	4.86%	439	42.70%
Martin, Thomas N.	2	41	43	4.18%	482	46.89%
Hinman, James S.	3	39	42	4.09%	524	50.97%
Affronti, Lorna R.	2	29	31	3.02%	555	53.99%
Nesser, Joseph G.	0	31	31	3.02%	586	57.00%
Gladstone, Katherine	2	27	29	2.82%	615	59.82%
Farr, William H.	1	22	23	2.24%	638	62.06%
St. George, Robert J.	1	22	23	2.24%	661	64.30%
Leavy, Anthony	0	22	22	2.14%	683	66.44%
Proano, Galo M.	0	21	21	2.04%	704	68.48%
DiSalvo, Thomas J.	0	19	19	1.85%	723	70.33%
Levitt, Glenn R.	1	18	19	1.85%	742	72.18%
Aramini, Mary E.	1	15	16	1.56%	758	73.74%
DeJohn, Timothy W.	0	14	14	1.36%	772	75.10%
Farrell, Barbara E.	0	14	14	1.36%	786	76.46%

⁵ New assignments only. Does not include reassignment to same client for same level or lower level crime.



Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Cases	Running Total	Running %
Levitsky, Steven Brian	1	13	14	1.36%	800	77.82%
Winward, Thomas M.V.	0	14	14	1.36%	814	79.18%
Tirone, Gregg M.	0	12	12	1.17%	826	80.35%
Chait, Mitchell A.	0	10	10	0.97%	836	81.32%
Renzi, Alexander R.	0	10	10	0.97%	846	82.30%
Annechino, John A.	1	8	9	0.88%	855	83.17%
Crowder, Debra A.	0	9	9	0.88%	864	84.05%
Indivino, Deborah A.	1	8	9	0.88%	873	84.92%
Berkowitz, Joyce B.	0	8	8	0.78%	881	85.70%
Callanan, Karen Smith	0	7	7	0.68%	888	86.38%
Aquilina, Vivian M.	0	6	6	0.58%	894	86.96%
Embury, Andrew J.	0	6	6	0.58%	900	87.55%
Scatigno, John M.	1	5	6	0.58%	906	88.13%
Wisner, Todd J.W.	1	4	5	0.49%	911	88.62%
Bernacki, Jr., John E.	2	2	4	0.39%	915	89.01%
Dentino, Anthony A.	0	4	4	0.39%	919	89.40%
Krieger, Lawrence J.	0	4	4	0.39%	923	89.79%
Lamutis, Donald F.	1	3	4	0.39%	927	90.18%
Schiano, Margaret A.	1	3	4	0.39%	931	90.56%
Snodgrass, Cynthia L.	1	3	4	0.39%	935	90.95%
Buettner, Brian C.	1	2	3	0.29%	938	91.25%
Callinan, Maureen	0	3	3	0.29%	941	91.54%
Morabito, David R.	0	3	3	0.29%	944	91.83%
Stewart, Henry S.	0	3	3	0.29%	947	92.12%
Wishengrad, Marcia H.	0	3	3	0.29%	950	92.41%
Affronti, Francis C.	0	2	2	0.19%	952	92.61%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Cases	Running Total	Running %
Album, Betsy L.	0	2	2	0.19%	954	92.80%
Arena, Patrick M.	0	2	2	0.19%	956	93.00%
Bryant, Kevin C.	0	2	2	0.19%	958	93.19%
Castellano, June	0	2	2	0.19%	960	93.39%
Colombo, Jeanne M.	0	2	2	0.19%	962	93.58%
Dimassimo, James D.	1	1	2	0.19%	964	93.77%
Gravitz, Jennifer L.	0	2	2	0.19%	966	93.97%
Kasperek, Lawrence L.	1	1	2	0.19%	968	94.16%
Morabito, Michael A.	0	2	2	0.19%	970	94.36%
Morris, Lisa B.	0	2	2	0.19%	972	94.55%
Muldoon, Gary	0	2	2	0.19%	974	94.75%
Owens, David L.	1	1	2	0.19%	976	94.94%
Parks, Anthony	0	2	2	0.19%	978	95.14%
Pilato, Louis P.	1	1	2	0.19%	980	95.33%
Schell, George A.	0	2	2	0.19%	982	95.53%
Stacy, Michael P.	0	2	2	0.19%	984	95.72%
Thompson, Donald M.	0	2	2	0.19%	986	95.91%
Vacca, James P.	0	2	2	0.19%	988	96.11%
Zunno, Harriet L.	0	2	2	0.19%	990	96.30%
Alexander, Christopher M.	1	0	1	0.10%	991	96.40%
Alexson, Timothy L.	0	1	1	0.10%	992	96.50%
Argento, Victoria M.	0	1	1	0.10%	993	96.60%
Aureli, Daniel L.	1	0	1	0.10%	994	96.69%
Beretta, Frank	0	1	1	0.10%	995	96.79%
D'Arpino, John Joseph	0	1	1	0.10%	996	96.89%
Daniele, Anthony	0	1	1	0.10%	997	96.98%



Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Cases	Running Total	Running %
Dinolfo, Joseph F.	1	0	1	0.10%	998	97.08%
Gaesser, David A.	1	0	1	0.10%	999	97.18%
Gallina, Fred S.	0	1	1	0.10%	1000	97.28%
Gangarosa, Edward, C., 2nd	0	1	1	0.10%	1001	97.37%
Goel, Vinita	0	1	1	0.10%	1002	97.47%
Hanlon, Garry Stephen	1	0	1	0.10%	1003	97.57%
Holliday, Billie D.	0	1	1	0.10%	1004	97.67%
Hurwitz, Phillip R.	1	0	1	0.10%	1005	97.76%
Jain, Rekha	0	1	1	0.10%	1006	97.86%
King, Jr., William H.	1	0	1	0.10%	1007	97.96%
Kristal, Peter L.	0	1	1	0.10%	1008	98.05%
Laragy, Christopher J.	1	0	1	0.10%	1009	98.15%
Leichtner, Edward J.	0	1	1	0.10%	1010	98.25%
Merante, Vincent E.	0	1	1	0.10%	1011	98.35%
Misseritti, Giuliana	0	1	1	0.10%	1012	98.44%
Nacca, John	0	1	1	0.10%	1013	98.54%
O'Neill, Jr., Raymond B.	1	0	1	0.10%	1014	98.64%
Palmiere, David M.	0	1	1	0.10%	1015	98.74%
Pennica, Kenneth L.	1	0	1	0.10%	1016	98.83%
Piampiano, James J.	0	1	1	0.10%	1017	98.93%
Plovanich, Charles	0	1	1	0.10%	1018	99.03%
Reyes, Miguel A.	0	1	1	0.10%	1019	99.12%
Rosenbloom, Michael A	0	1	1	0.10%	1020	99.22%
Rosner, Leonard A.	0	1	1	0.10%	1021	99.32%
Sammons, Elizabeth A.	0	1	1	0.10%	1022	99.42%
Schiano, Michael P.	0	1	1	0.10%	1023	99.51%

Attorney	ACP	Court	Total Cases	% of Cases	Running Total	Running %
Sekharan, Raja N.	0	1	1	0.10%	1024	99.61%
Vazzana, James A.	0	1	1	0.10%	1025	99.71%
Walker, Connie	0	1	1	0.10%	1026	99.81%
West, John R.	0	1	1	0.10%	1027	99.90%
Wurtz, Edward	0	1	1	0.10%	1028	100.00%
Total	52	976	1028			
Average	1	9	10			

1999 Case Distribution Graphs⁶



⁶ In interpreting these graphs, keep in mind that new panel members, non-approved attorneys, attorneys declining assignments and attorneys temporarily removed from the panels skew the results.



1999 Table of Program Use by Judiciary

The table below shows the number of assignments for each Judge making at least one assignment during 1999. The table divides the assignments between those made through the Assigned Counsel Program and those made directly by the Judge. The last column shows the percentage of the total assignments that the Judge made through the Assigned Counsel Program. The statistics clearly show that Rochester City Court, which assigns the greatest number of cases in the County, is very high in percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. Increasingly in 1999, the Local Criminal Courts assigned exclusively through the Assigned Counsel Program. These facts directly correlate to the fact that the distribution of cases among the attorneys is greatest for criminal cases. Conversely, the statistics show that Family Court has a very low percentage of cases assigned through the Assigned Counsel Program. Therefore, Family Court has a very uneven distribution of cases among the attorneys.

Court	Judge	Assigned By		Grand Total	% ACP
		ACP	Court		
Appellate Division	Appellate Division	87	0	87	100.00%
Appellate Division Total		87	0	87	100.00%
Brighton Town Court	Morris, Hon. James E.	9	1	10	90.00%
	Morris, Hon. Karen	12	1	13	92.31%
Brighton Town Court Total		21	2	23	91.30%
Chili Town Court	Olver, Hon. Melvin L.	6	18	24	25.00%
	Pietropaoli, Hon. Patrick	15	10	25	60.00%
Chili Town Court Total		21	28	49	42.86%
Clarkson Town Court	Pogroszewski, Hon. Stanley	1	0	1	100.00%
Clarkson Town Court Total		1	0	1	100.00%
E. Rochester Town Court	Morabito, Hon. Ralph	1	2	3	33.33%
	Odorisi, Hon. J. Scott	4	5	9	44.44%
E. Rochester Town Court Total		5	7	12	41.67%
Fairport Village Court	Barone, Hon. Vincent M.	1	0	1	100.00%
	Rood, Hon. Gary Wm.	5	0	5	100.00%
Fairport Village Court Total		6	0	6	100.00%


		Assigned By			
Court	Judge	ACP	Court	Grand Total	% ACP
Family Court Hearing Officer	Bonadio, Hon. Anthony F.	0	5	5	0.00%
	Lockwood, Hon. Roy H.	0	1	1	0.00%
	Maas, Hon. Leonard E.	0	4	4	0.00%
	Miles, Hon. Paul I.	4	133	137	2.92%
	Morton, Hon. Glenn R.	1	15	16	6.25%
	Strobridge, Hon. Maurice E.	1	42	43	2.33%
	Willis, Hon. Charles L.	0	35	35	0.00%
Family Court Hearing Officer Total		6	235	241	2.49%
Gates Town Court	Pisaturo, Hon. John J.	12	18	30	40.00%
	Rivoli, Hon. John J.	11	21	32	34.38%
Gates Town Court Total		23	39	62	37.10%
Greece Town Court	Campbell, Hon. Vincent	26	2	28	92.86%
	Rogers, Hon. Charles W.	23	1	24	95.83%
	Servis, Hon. Terry M.	34	2	36	94.44%
Greece Town Court Total		83	5	88	94.32%
Hamlin Town Court	Rath, Hon. Paul W.	1	0	1	100.00%
Hamlin Town Court Total		1	0	1	100.00%
Henrietta Town Court	Kopacki, Hon. John	4	33	37	10.81%
	Pericak, Hon. John G.	7	27	34	20.59%
	Renzi, Hon. Alexander	17	26	43	39.53%
Henrietta Town Court Total		28	86	114	24.56%
Irondequoit Town Court	Costello, Hon. P. Keely	23	12	35	65.71%
	Enos, Hon. Christopher J.	21	11	32	65.63%
	Genier, Hon. Joseph T.	36	4	40	90.00%
	Dinolfo, Hon. Vincent M.	0	1	1	0.00%
Irondequoit Town Court Total		80	28	108	74.07%
Mendon Town Court	Malone, Hon. Steven E.	1	0	1	100.00%
Mendon Town Court Total		1	0	1	100.00%

		Assigned By			
Court	Judge	ACP	Court	Grand Total	% ACP
Monroe County Court	Bristol, Hon. William H.	21	10	31	67.74%
	Connell, Hon. John J.	22	18	40	55.00%
	Egan, Hon. David D.	32	2	34	94.12%
	Geraci, Jr., Hon. Frank P.	35	4	39	89.74%
	Maloy, Hon. Charles T.	37	18	55	67.27%
	Marks, Hon. Patricia D.	17	22	39	43.59%
Monroe County Court Total		164	74	238	68.91%
Monroe County Family Court	Bonadio, Hon. Anthony F.	0	5	5	0.00%
	Donofrio, Hon. Gail	4	155	159	2.52%
	Kohout, Hon. Joan S.	33	246	279	11.83%
	Miles, Hon. Paul I.	4	133	137	2.92%
	Miller, Hon. Michael J.	4	130	134	2.99%
	Morton, Hon. Glenn R.	1	15	16	6.25%
	Sciolino, Hon. Anthony J.	1	161	162	0.62%
	Taddeo, Hon. Ann Marie	10	152	162	6.17%
Willis, Hon. Charles L.	0	35	35	0.00%	
Monroe County Family Court Total		57	1032	1089	5.23%
Monroe County Surrogate Court	Ciaccio, Hon. Arnold F.	0	4	4	0.00%
Monroe County Surrogate Court Total		0	4	4	0.00%
Ogden Town Court	Ryan, Hon. Martin T.	1	0	1	100.00%
	Schiano, Hon. Michael Patrick	3	0	3	100.00%
Ogden Town Court Total		4	0	4	100.00%
Parma Town Court	Connelly, Hon. James A.	1	0	1	100.00%
	Mullaly, Hon. Kathleen	0	1	1	0.00%
Parma Town Court Total		1	1	2	50.00%
Penfield Town Court	Farber, Hon. Sidney T.	9	7	16	56.25%
	Lomenzo, Jr., Hon. John P.	19	1	20	95.00%
Penfield Town Court Total		28	8	36	77.78%


		Assigned By			
Court	Judge	ACP	Court	Grand Total	% ACP
Perinton Town Court	Rood, Hon. Gary Wm.	5	0	5	100.00%
Perinton Town Court Total		5	0	5	100.00%
Pittsford Town Court	Gallina, Hon. Fred S	6	1	7	85.71%
	Michel, Hon. F. Robert	9	1	10	90.00%
Pittsford Town Court Total		15	2	17	88.24%
Riga Town Court	Amarosa, Hon. Louis	2	0	2	100.00%
Riga Town Court Total		2	0	2	100.00%
Rochester City Court	Byrnes, Hon. Marjorie L.	295	7	302	97.68%
	Castro, Hon. Melchor E.	277	42	319	86.83%
	Geraci, Jr., Hon. Frank P.	35	4	39	89.74%
	Johnson, Hon. Teresa D.	338	6	344	98.26%
	King, Hon. Roy Wheatley	240	2	242	99.17%
	Morse, Hon. Thomas Rainbow	442	23	465	95.05%
	Pfeiffer, Hon. Ann E.	267	15	282	94.68%
	Pietropaoli, Hon. Patrick	15	10	25	60.00%
	Renzi, Hon. Alexander	17	26	43	39.53%
	Schwartz, Hon. John R.	160	7	167	95.81%
	Valentino, Hon. Joseph D.	40	1	41	97.56%
Rochester City Court Total		2126	143	2269	93.70%
Rush Town Court	Anderson, Hon. Paula	5	0	5	100.00%
	LaRonde, Hon. William	3	0	3	100.00%
Rush Town Court Total		8	0	8	100.00%
Supreme Court	Ark, Hon. John J.	5	7	12	41.67%
	Cornelius, Hon. Raymond E.	1	1	2	50.00%
	Fisher, Hon. Kenneth R.	5	1	6	83.33%
	Frazee, Hon. Evelyn	0	1	1	0.00%
	Lunn, Hon. Robert J.	2	0	2	100.00%
	Mark, Hon. Donald J.	17	9	26	65.38%

Court	Judge	Assigned By		Grand Total	% ACP
		ACP	Court		
	Vanstrydonck, Hon. Thomas M	1	1	2	50.00%
Supreme Court Total		31	20	51	60.78%
Sweden Town Court	Coapman, Hon. Carl A	10	0	10	100.00%
	Hall, Hon. Robert E.	4	0	4	100.00%
	Kehoe, Hon. Sharon M.	8	0	8	100.00%
Sweden Town Court Total		22	0	22	100.00%
Webster Town Court	Barrett, Hon. John M.	10	0	10	100.00%
	Corretore, Hon. David	14	0	14	100.00%
Webster Town Court Total		24	0	24	100.00%


Graphs of Program Use by Judiciary



Criminal Cases Only 1995-99



Family Court Only 1995-99



Types of Cases Assigned in 1999¹

Type of Case	Total
Custody/Visitation	470
Child Protective Proceeding	434
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 3	344
Petit Larceny	252
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 7	163
Assault 3	140
Violation Probation	123
Family Offense	118
Harassment 2	103
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 3	98
Loitering 1	94
Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle 3	85
Criminal Mischief 4	81
Appeal-Criminal Court (Fel.)	67
Parole Violation	67
Robbery 1	66
Assault 2	59
Burglary 2	51
Disorderly Conduct	49
Criminal Contempt 2	38
Robbery 2	37
Burglary 3	36
Criminal Contempt 1	35
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 4	34
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 5	33

Type of Case	Total
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 3	33
Crim. Poss. Weapon 3	32
Menacing 2	31
Grand Larceny 4	31
Forgery 2	29
Agg. Harassment 2	28
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 5	27
Unlawful Poss. Marihuana	27
Crim. Trespass 2	23
End. Welfare Child	23
Resisting Arrest	23
Assault 1	22
Agg. Unlicensed Operation 2	21
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 2	21
Crim. Sale Marihuana 4	20
Crim. Trespass 3	20
Crim. Poss. Stolen Property 3	19
Rape 1	18
Paternity	18
False Personation	18
Driving While Intoxicated	17
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 4	17
Guardianship	16
Murder 2	16
Burglary 1	16
Witness	15
Grand Larceny 3	15

Type of Case	Total
Crim. Use Drug Paraphernalia 2	15
Obstructing Govt'l Administration 2	15
Crim. Poss. Weapon 2	15
Rioting 2	14
Crim. Poss. Weapon 4	13
Criminal Impersonation 2	13
Criminal Mischief 3	12
Criminal Facilitation 4	11
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 1	11
Poss. Imitation Controlled Substance	10
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 2	10
Prostitution	10
Loitering	10
Driving While Intoxicated (Fel.)	9
Issuing a Bad Check	8
Criminal Contempt 1, Aggravated	7
Theft of Services	7
Foster Care	7
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 1	7
Trespass	6
Appeal-Family Court	6
Reckless Endangerment 1	5
Unlawful Imprisonment 2	5
Robbery 3	5
Sodomy 1	5
Sexual Misconduct	5
Adoption	5

Type of Case	Total
Crim. Poss. Hypodermic Instrument	5
722-c Order	4
Petit Larceny, Att.	4
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 5	4
Reckless Endangerment 2	4
Sexual Abuse 3	4
Support	4
Arson 2	4
Alcoholic Beverage Control	4
Crim. Sale of Controlled Sub. 3, Att.	3
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 3	3
Crim. Poss. Controlled Substance 4	3
Assault 3, Att.	3
Crim. Poss. Marihuana 1	3
Falsely Reporting Incident 3	3
Public Lewdness	3
Violation Conditional Discharge	3
Promoting Prison Contraband 2	3
Criminal Mischief 2	3
Pins-Intervenor/FC	3
Fugitive	3
Suspended Registration	2
Assault 2, Att.	2
Sexual Abuse 1	2
Tampering Physical Evidence	2
Bail Jumping 3	2
Crim. Sale Firearm 3	2

Type of Case	Total
Resentencing	2
Reckless Driving	2
Loitering to Promote Prostitution	2
Welfare Fraud - Fel.	2
Arson 1	2
Harassment	2
Crim. Sale of Controlled Substance 2	2
Gang Assault 2	2
Appeal - Parole Violation	2
Trademark Counterfitting 2	1
Rape 3	1
Unlicensed Operator	1
Burglary 3, Att.	1
Unlawfully Deal w/Child 2	1
Unauthorized Use Motor Vehicle 2	1
Arson 3	1
Selling Tobacco to Mino - 2	1
Tampering with Witness 3	1
Appeal-Criminal Court (Misd.)	1
Sexual Abuse 2	1
Torturing & Injuring Animals	1
Poss. of Graffiti Instruments	1
Robbery 1, Att.	1
Intimidating Victim/Witness 3	1
Driving While Ability Impaired	1
Criminal Facilitation 5	1
Driving While Ability Impaired-Drugs	1

Type of Case	Total
Escape 1	1
Escape 2	1
Falsely Reporting Incident 1	1
Crim. Use Firearm 2	1
Falsely Reporting Incident 2	1
Grand Larceny 3, Att.	1
Hindering Prosecution 1	1
Possession of Alcohol in Public	1
Improper Plates	1
Promoting Prison Contraband 1	1
Judicial Contempt (Judiciary Sec. 750)	1
Crim. Poss. Weapon 1	1
Kidnapping 1	1
Leaving Scene Incident-PD	1
Making Graffiti	1
Manslaughter 2	1
Patronizing a Prostitute 4	1
Poss. Burglar's Tools	1
Crim. Poss. Forged Instrument 1	1
Falsifying Business Records 1	1
Post-Conviction Motion	1
Crim. Sale Marihuana 3	1
Total	4062

1. *Based on cases referred to ACP for assignment. Assignment numbers are lower because we do not count assignments to the same client as an assignment. Also, cases referred but not assigned because of no conflict are not counted in the assignment total.*

1999 Case Costs by Panel¹

Description	Attorney Expenses	Attorney Fees	Vendor Expenses	Grand Total	Average Atty Exp	Average Atty Fee	Average Vendor Exp	Average Total	Cases Closed
ABC Felony	\$2,514.74	\$359,111.75	\$43,901.62	\$405,528.11	\$4.22	\$602.54	\$73.66	\$680.42	596
Appellate	\$2,540.81	\$36,646.50	\$8,595.65	\$47,782.96	\$87.61	\$1,263.67	\$296.40	\$1,647.69	29
DE Felony	\$1,098.13	\$150,295.20	\$7,185.79	\$158,579.12	\$3.08	\$420.99	\$20.13	\$444.20	357
Family Court	\$2,686.58	\$277,299.75	\$1,444.91	\$281,431.24	\$3.46	\$356.89	\$1.86	\$362.20	777
Misdemeanor	\$1,766.89	\$358,333.10	\$2,789.11	\$362,889.10	\$1.28	\$259.10	\$2.02	\$262.39	1,383
Other	\$9.03	\$4,199.75	\$2,650.00	\$6,858.78	\$0.33	\$155.55	\$98.15	\$254.03	27
Probation/Parole	\$126.50	\$41,701.05	\$3,085.19	\$44,912.74	\$0.77	\$254.27	\$18.81	\$273.86	164
Grand Total	\$10,742.68	\$1,227,587.10	\$69,652.27	\$1,307,982.05	\$3.22	\$368.31	\$20.90	\$392.43	3,333

1. Includes all cases closed and paid in 1999 even if assigned in a prior year.

1999 Costs by Case Disposition

ABC Felony						
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee	
A.C.D.	2	\$1,481.50	\$740.75	\$1,174.00	\$307.50	
Alford Plea	1	\$518.00	\$518.00	\$518.00	\$518.00	
Bench Trial - Guilty Lesser	2	\$4,683.75	\$2,341.87	\$2,567.00	\$2,116.75	
Bench Trial - Guilty Original	1	\$2,070.00	\$2,070.00	\$2,070.00	\$2,070.00	
Bench Warrant	4	\$1,559.00	\$389.75	\$620.50	\$81.50	
Capital Case Elevation	2	\$2,077.00	\$1,038.50	\$1,038.50	\$1,038.50	
Client Retained Own Counsel	36	\$6,481.25	\$180.03	\$775.00	\$15.00	
Consolidated - Other Charges	10	\$1,553.00	\$155.30	\$314.50	\$60.00	
Dismissed - CPL 30.30	35	\$11,282.50	\$322.36	\$750.00	\$58.50	

Dismissed - Felony Complaint	25	\$8,666.25	\$346.65	\$1,157.50	\$62.75
Dismissed - Indictment	2	\$2,810.00	\$1,405.00	\$2,555.00	\$255.00
Dismissed - Information	3	\$1,157.50	\$385.83	\$785.00	\$102.50
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	14	\$4,620.25	\$330.02	\$1,364.00	\$42.50
Jury Trial - Acquittal	10	\$35,774.00	\$3,577.40	\$5,104.25	\$1,480.00
Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	10	\$34,952.00	\$3,495.20	\$4,677.50	\$1,435.00
Jury Trial - Guilty Original	27	\$124,265.25	\$4,602.42	\$13,777.50	\$1,439.50
No Bill - Grand Jury	91	\$18,721.00	\$205.73	\$1,029.50	\$21.00
No Conflict - PD Continued	1	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00	\$150.00
Other	1	\$105.00	\$105.00	\$105.00	\$105.00
Plea To Reduced Charge	244	\$125,861.25	\$515.82	\$5,578.75	\$60.00
Plea To Top Charge	42	\$29,549.25	\$703.55	\$2,116.50	\$40.00
Relieved By Court	3	\$1,231.00	\$410.33	\$645.00	\$273.50
Transfer to Family Court	4	\$853.50	\$213.37	\$428.00	\$73.50
Y.O. Adjudication	20	\$7,919.75	\$395.99	\$823.50	\$170.00
DE Felony					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
A.C.D.	3	\$1,327.75	\$442.58	\$888.75	\$191.50
Bench Warrant	5	\$1,691.50	\$338.30	\$624.00	\$143.50
Client Retained Own Counsel	14	\$2,483.50	\$177.39	\$622.50	\$28.50
Consolidated - Other Charges	11	\$3,729.00	\$339.00	\$1,087.50	\$10.00
Covered by Plea - Other Charge	3	\$205.00	\$68.33	\$125.00	\$40.00
Dismissed - CPL 30.30	13	\$3,871.00	\$297.77	\$576.00	\$33.00
Dismissed - Felony Complaint	7	\$1,832.50	\$261.79	\$589.00	\$40.00
Dismissed - Indictment	2	\$380.50	\$190.25	\$223.00	\$157.50
Dismissed - Information	2	\$1,071.25	\$535.63	\$855.75	\$215.50
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	16	\$8,037.00	\$502.31	\$2,971.50	\$47.00

Jury Trial - Acquittal	1	\$2,619.00	\$2,619.00	\$2,619.00	\$2,619.00
Jury Trial - Guilty Lesser	1	\$472.50	\$472.50	\$472.50	\$472.50
Jury Trial - Guilty Original	6	\$11,345.50	\$1,890.92	\$3,230.00	\$1,034.00
No Bill - Grand Jury	46	\$10,960.75	\$238.28	\$619.00	\$37.00
No Conflict - PD Continued	1	\$41.50	\$41.50	\$41.50	\$41.50
Plea To Reduced Charge	130	\$56,677.95	\$435.98	\$2,709.00	\$40.00
Plea To Top Charge	70	\$50,421.75	\$720.31	\$2,702.50	\$106.00
Relieved By Court	4	\$822.50	\$205.63	\$565.00	\$50.00
Y.O. Adjudication	15	\$6,803.00	\$453.53	\$1,051.00	\$100.50
Misdemeanor					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
A.C.D.	234	\$47,472.05	\$202.87	\$1,217.50	\$20.00
Bench Trial - Acquittal	15	\$5,689.25	\$379.28	\$800.00	\$61.25
Bench Trial - Guilty Original	4	\$1,782.00	\$445.50	\$566.50	\$201.00
Bench Warrant	58	\$14,433.00	\$248.84	\$670.00	\$15.00
Client Retained Own Counsel	22	\$3,644.50	\$165.66	\$797.00	\$37.50
Consolidated - Other Charges	26	\$5,955.00	\$229.04	\$844.25	\$31.00
Dismissed - CPL 30.30	49	\$16,710.50	\$341.03	\$1,030.00	\$20.00
Dismissed - Indictment	2	\$73.00	\$36.50	\$40.00	\$33.00
Dismissed - Information	180	\$52,111.50	\$289.51	\$1,559.50	\$20.00
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	83	\$17,949.50	\$216.26	\$652.50	\$20.00
Jury Trial - Acquittal	6	\$6,278.50	\$1,046.42	\$2,472.00	\$167.50
Jury Trial - Guilty Original	2	\$1,610.50	\$805.25	\$810.50	\$800.00
No Bill - Grand Jury	1	\$29.00	\$29.00	\$29.00	\$29.00
No Conflict - PD Continued	2	\$663.50	\$331.75	\$520.50	\$143.00
Not Indigent	1	\$59.50	\$59.50	\$59.50	\$59.50
Plea To Reduced Charge	449	\$128,118.75	\$285.34	\$1,413.50	\$10.00

Plea To Top Charge	217	\$64,665.50	\$298.00	\$1,285.50	\$14.50
Relieved By Court	7	\$1,935.75	\$276.54	\$777.50	\$17.50
Y.O. Adjudication	15	\$4,240.50	\$282.70	\$466.50	\$102.50
Family Court					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
A.C.D.	28	\$13,922.00	\$497.21	\$1,983.00	\$84.00
Admit Petition	55	\$13,467.25	\$244.86	\$932.00	\$28.50
Client Retained Own Counsel	1	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00	\$35.00
No Conflict - PD Continued	1	\$48.00	\$48.00	\$48.00	\$48.00
Petition Dismissed	181	\$52,738.50	\$291.37	\$1,460.00	\$9.00
Petition Found After Hearing	42	\$17,048.00	\$405.90	\$1,971.50	\$38.75
Plea To Reduced Charge	1	\$148.75	\$148.75	\$148.75	\$148.75
Relieved By Court	6	\$1,338.50	\$223.08	\$433.50	\$20.00
Stipulated Settlement	459	\$181,625.50	\$395.70	\$3,521.50	\$5.00
Appellate					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
Appeal Judgment - Affirmed	20	\$29,160.50	\$1,458.03	\$5,000.00	\$106.00
Appeal Judgment - Modified	1	\$216.00	\$216.00	\$216.00	\$216.00
Appeal Sentence - Affirmed	5	\$9,564.00	\$1,912.80	\$3,164.00	\$1,200.00
Client Retained Own Counsel	1	\$240.00	\$240.00	\$240.00	\$240.00
Petition Dismissed	2	\$1,618.00	\$809.00	\$1,530.00	\$88.00
Probation/Parole					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
Admit Petition	115	\$28,385.05	\$246.83	\$1,107.00	\$12.50
Bench Warrant	5	\$990.50	\$198.10	\$287.50	\$90.00
Client Retained Own Counsel	4	\$878.25	\$219.56	\$405.50	\$48.50
Consolidated - Other Charges	4	\$791.75	\$197.94	\$480.00	\$37.50

No Conflict - PD Continued	2	\$177.50	\$88.75	\$127.50	\$50.00
Petition Dismissed	19	\$6,481.50	\$341.13	\$1,038.50	\$22.50
Petition Found After Hearing	13	\$4,649.25	\$357.63	\$1,309.50	\$70.50
Relieved By Court	1	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00	\$50.00
<i>Other</i>					
Disposition	# Cases	Total Fee	Avg Fee	Max Fee	Min Fee
Dismissed - Plea in Other Court	1	\$240.00	\$240.00	\$240.00	\$240.00
Other	23	\$3,636.25	\$158.10	\$308.25	\$12.50
Plea To Reduced Charge	2	\$226.00	\$113.00	\$202.00	\$24.00
Post Conviction - Denied	1	\$97.50	\$97.50	\$97.50	\$97.50