

SUBSTANTIAL AMENDMENT to the 2011 Annual Action Plan for Housing & Community Development in Suburban Monroe County



Atwood Park Apartments - Senior Housing - Town of Gates



Ogden Gardens - Senior Housing - Town of Ogden



Home Improvement Program Project - Before



Home Improvement Program Project - After

May 14, 2012



Maggie Brooks
County Executive

Department of Planning & Development
Judy A. Seil, Director
8100 City Place, 50 West Main Street
Rochester, NY 14614
585-753-2000 - Fax: 585-753-2028
www.monroecounty.gov

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

*1. Type of Submission: <input type="checkbox"/> Preapplication <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Application <input type="checkbox"/> Changed/Corrected Application	*2. Type of Application * If Revision, select appropriate letter(s) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New <input type="checkbox"/> Continuation <input type="checkbox"/> Revision	*Other (Specify) _____
---	--	---------------------------

3. Date Received:	4. Applicant Identifier: 16-6002563
-------------------	--

5a. Federal Entity Identifier:	*5b. Federal Award Identifier:
--------------------------------	--------------------------------

State Use Only:

6. Date Received by State:	7. State Application Identifier:
----------------------------	----------------------------------

8. APPLICANT INFORMATION:

*a. Legal Name: Monroe County	
*b. Employer/Taxpayer Identification Number (EIN/TIN): 16-6002563	*c. Organizational DUNS: 079678249

d. Address:

*Street 1:	<u>50 West Main Street</u>
Street 2:	<u>Suite 8100</u>
*City:	<u>Rochester</u>
County:	<u>Monroe</u>
*State:	<u>New York</u>
Province:	_____
*Country:	<u>USA</u>
*Zip / Postal Code	<u>14614</u>

e. Organizational Unit:

Department Name: Department of Planning and Development	Division Name: Community Development Division
--	--

f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:

Prefix: <u>Ms.</u>	*First Name: <u>Kathi</u>
Middle Name: _____	
*Last Name: <u>Gingello</u>	
Suffix: _____	

Title: <u>Community Development Manager</u>

Organizational Affiliation:

*Telephone Number: 585-753-2005	Fax Number: 585-753-2028
---------------------------------	--------------------------

*Email: <u>kgingello@monroecounty.gov</u>

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

***9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:**

B.County Government

Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:

Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:

*Other (Specify)

***10 Name of Federal Agency:**

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development

11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:

14.231 _____

CFDA Title:

Emergency Solutions Grants Program (ESG) _____

***12 Funding Opportunity Number:**

*Title:

Funding Availability for the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program _____

13. Competition Identification Number:

Title:

N/A _____

14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):

Monroe County

***15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:**

Monroe County Emergency Solutions Grant Program

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424

Version 02

16. Congressional Districts Of:

*a. Applicant: 25, 26, 28 and 29

*b. Program/Project: 25, 26, 28 and 29

17. Proposed Project:

*a. Start Date: July 1, 2012

*b. End Date: June 30, 2014

18. Estimated Funding (\$):

*a. Federal	_____	47,404
*b. Applicant	_____	
*c. State	_____	
*d. Local	_____	
*e. Other	_____	
*f. Program Income	_____	
*g. TOTAL	_____	47,404

***19. Is Application Subject to Review By State Under Executive Order 12372 Process?**

- a. This application was made available to the State under the Executive Order 12372 Process for review on _____
- b. Program is subject to E.O. 12372 but has not been selected by the State for review.
- c. Program is not covered by E. O. 12372

***20. Is the Applicant Delinquent On Any Federal Debt? (If "Yes", provide explanation.)**

- Yes No

21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U. S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)

** I AGREE

** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions

Authorized Representative:

Prefix: Ms. *First Name: Maggie

Middle Name: _____

*Last Name: Brooks

Suffix: _____

*Title: County Executive

*Telephone Number: 585-753-1000

Fax Number: 585-753-2028

* Email: mbrooks@monroecounty.gov

*Signature of Authorized Representative *Maggie Brooks*

*Date Signed: 5/3/12

**Substantial Amendment to the Consolidated Plan 2011 Annual Action Plan for Housing
and Community Development in Suburban Monroe County
for
Second Allocation Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) Program Funds**

On December 5, 2011, the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) published the Interim Rule for the Emergency Solutions Grants (ESG) program and Consolidated Plan amendments. This rule became effective on January 4, 2012; enabling ESG recipients to receive a second allocation of 2011 ESG funds to carry out new homeless assistance activities. Monroe County anticipates receiving an allocation of \$47,404, which will be used for the eligible activities of homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing. To receive this second allocation of ESG funds, Monroe County must submit a Substantial Amendment to the 2011 Annual Action Plan to HUD by May 15, 2012, following a 30-day public comment period. The public comment period began April 11, 2012 and the County accepted comments through May 11, 2012. A public hearing was held on May 9, 2012 at 9:30 a.m. at the Gates Town Hall, 1605 Buffalo Road.

1. Summary of Consultation Process

Consultation with Continuum of Care

The Rochester/Monroe County Homeless Continuum of Care Team (CoC) is the lead entity for the Continuum of Care planning and management process, including direct oversight of the implementation of the CoC's Homeless Management Information System (HMIS), project monitoring and establishment of project priorities. The CoC Action Plan provides a framework enabling the community to address homelessness and its underlying causes and to develop a comprehensive, coordinated system of homeless services to help individuals and families achieve independence. It describes a system that ranges from emergency housing and supportive services to permanent housing with homeless prevention and supportive services needed by homeless individuals and families to achieve independent living. Continuum services and housing alternatives include outreach, supportive services, emergency shelters, transitional housing and permanent supportive housing. The system's goal is to appropriately transition individuals from homelessness to their maximum level of self-sufficiency.

The Team is comprised of five Sponsors and Community-Based Planning Participant Organizations/Individuals. The Monroe County Sponsor has designated representatives from the Departments of Planning & Development, Human Services (MCDHS), Office of Mental Health, and the Rochester/Monroe County Youth Bureau. The City of Rochester Sponsor has designated representatives from the City of Rochester Bureau of Business and Housing Development. The Homeless Services Network (HSN) Sponsor (a consortium of more than 50 homeless service providers) elects two representatives; and both the United Way of Greater Rochester and Rochester Housing Authority Sponsors have a designated representative.

The CoC Team has 10 ex-officio members appointed by public and private organizations deemed necessary to develop, maintain, monitor and continuously improve a comprehensive, coordinated and flexible system of homeless housing and support services. They represent the County, City, United Way, Rochester Housing Authority, HSN and a community-based planning participant, who is a formerly homeless individual. In addition, there are elected general members from both public and private groups from the community, i.e. business sector, faith-based organizations, veterans organizations, schools, advocacy groups, etc. This diverse team is the primary planning and coordinating body for homeless housing and services in this community.

The Rochester/Monroe County CoC has been a long-time participant in the ESG planning process for Monroe County and the City of Rochester. ESG community priorities and planning have been discussed at both CoC Team and HSN meetings. Monroe County and City of Rochester housing staff have also consulted extensively with the CoC relative to the preparation of their respective 2011 ESG Substantial Amendments.

ESG funding continues to be a critical issue for local homeless service providers. Facilitated discussions of ESG community priorities are held at the HSN meetings, and CoC members and community stakeholders are strongly encouraged to participate. In addition, CoC members have participated in community discussions led by consultants Dennis Culhane and Suzanne Wagner, who are engaging stakeholders in implementing plans to 1) reduce the number of homeless families referred to hotel/motels for shelter; and 2) develop a Single Point of Access system for homeless services.

The CoC, members of HSN (a collaboration of local homeless services, legal, primary care, mental health, substance abuse, re-entry providers and community stakeholders) and housing staff from the County and City are also collectively participating in planning for the allocation of 2012-2013 ESG funding. Funding allocations will be based on identified priorities, and a RFP process will be utilized by Monroe County to solicit applications for 2012 ESG allocations.

The CoC is participating in the HUD CoC Check-Up self-evaluation designed to assist continuums in determining their current capacity, performance and readiness for the HEARTH Act. Survey respondents, including ESG funding recipients, were asked to rate the CoC on four domains: governance and structure; planning and process; infrastructure and administrative capacity, and housing and services. The CoC will submit a written Action Plan to HUD making it eligible for future technical assistance. Results of the Check-Up process are expected to enhance the ability of the CoC to be a leader in the efforts to coordinate ESG funded services, respond to HEARTH rulings and end homelessness in the Rochester/Monroe County community.

Allocation of ESG Funds

Prior to its inception, plans for the joint Rochester/Monroe County Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP), known as Heading Home, were developed by a consortium of homeless service providers and community stakeholders, including members of the CoC, who responded to a collaborative Request for Proposals (RFP) from the City and County. The Heading Home proposal submitted by Coordinated Care Services, Inc. (CCSI) was selected by this consortium. Six (6) members of the Heading Home Oversight Committee are also members of the CoC, which helps ensure consistency and guidance in program development and administration.

Heading Home program goals and outcomes are aligned with the community's plans to end homelessness. From November 2009 to mid-February 2012, Heading Home has served 2,749 households. In addition to case management (Stabilization and Relocation) and coordination with community providers, Heading Home provided \$2,328,460 in financial assistance (\$2,056,494 in prevention; \$271,966 in rapid re-housing). Heading Home data is entered into HMIS, and is closely monitored by the CoC. HMIS is a software application used to collect demographic information on people served. The purpose of HMIS is to record and store client-level information about the numbers, characteristics and needs of persons who use homeless housing and supportive services and about persons who receive assistance for "persons at risk of homelessness" over time; to produce an unduplicated count of homeless persons for each Continuum of Care; to understand the extent and nature of homelessness locally, regionally and nationally; and to understand patterns of service use and measure the effectiveness of programs. Heading Home program results and HMIS data will be utilized to determine all future programming and funding decisions in the Rochester/Monroe County community.

With the impending closeout of the County and City HPRP grants, the ramping down of Heading Home began in January 2012, and it is anticipated that all HPRP Heading Home funds will be fully expended by the end of June 2012. The community has formed a HEARTH Act Implementation Committee to explore sustainability of Heading Home after HPRP funds have been exhausted. The County and City staff have met extensively with the CoC Coordinator, Chair, and Co-Chair and CCSI to facilitate the proposed use of the 2011 Second Allocation ESG funding to continue Heading Home on a limited basis.

Through the end of February 2012, Heading Home served a total of 2,749 households, comprised of 5,995 persons, with prevention (2,423 households) and rapid re-housing (326 households) services. Of these households served, 90% remained stably housed at three months; 85% at six months; 82% after nine months; and 78% were stably housed after 12 months. During the period January through September 2011, no (zero) Heading Home participants who received prevention services appeared on HMIS as receiving homeless shelter services.

Due to the success of Heading Home in preventing homelessness and rapidly re-housing homeless persons and families, continuation of services is considered a priority. The County and City propose to jointly administer their 2011 ESG Second Allocation funding to continue Heading Home services on a limited basis until 2012 funding can be secured. To ensure continuity and provision of Heading Home services without interruption, it is proposed that CCSI will remain the lead agency, as they are with the current HPRP funded program, and The Housing Council will continue to provide program services and referrals.

Although HUD strongly recommends the use of ESG funding for rapid re-housing, the focus of the City/County 2011 ESG Second Allocation will be both homelessness prevention and rapid re-housing. Rapid re-housing will assist people to transition out of the homeless assistance system as soon as possible in order to reduce the number of homeless persons in the community.

The current HPRP funded City/County Heading Home Program's service activity mirrors the findings of HPRP on the national level. In developing the HPRP program, HUD anticipated that the persons receiving each type of assistance would be split evenly. However, data reported by HUD in the June 2011 publication "*2010 Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program: Year 1 Summary*" indicated that, of the persons served in the first year, approximately 78.2% received homelessness prevention assistance and 24.2% received rapid re-housing assistance. An estimated 2.4% of persons received both types of assistance. To date, 80% of the services provided by the City/County HPRP Heading Home program were homelessness prevention and 20% of services were rapid re-housing provided to persons in emergency shelters who were not receiving financial support from the Monroe County Department of Human Services (DHS). The DHS, under NYS Office of Temporary and Disability Assistance (OTDA) regulations, provides assistance to homeless families and individuals that meet their program requirements. Due to this demonstrated need for prevention services, the City and County submitted an amendment to their HPRP Substantial Amendments to HUD in September 2010 revising the program allocations to reflect actual need.

Performance Standards

Monroe County and the City of Rochester utilize the Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS) to document program progress and monitor program performance on an ongoing basis. The Heading Home Program submits data to HMIS as required by HUD. It is anticipated that the CoC will begin participation in the HUD PULSE system, which provides point-in-time shelter counts of homeless persons on a quarterly basis, in the 4th quarter of 2012.

Consolidated Annual Performance and Evaluation Reports (CAPERS) are prepared annually by the County and the City, which contain a summary of resources and programmatic accomplishments, the status of actions taken to implement the strategies contained in the Consolidated Plans, and evaluation of progress

made during the year in addressing identified priority needs and objectives. Current program guidelines and appropriate underwriting analysis and documentation are also maintained.

Performance Standards are in alignment with the new measures implemented with the HEARTH Act including:

- ✓ reducing the duration of homelessness
- ✓ reducing recidivism
- ✓ reducing the number of people who become homeless
- ✓ increasing employment and income
- ✓ ending homelessness

Funding policies and procedures for operation and administration

Funding policies and procedures for expenditure of 2011 ESG Second Allocation funds have been developed in accordance with the ESG Interim Rule. Funds will be expended within 24 months after the date HUD signs the Substantial Amendment to the County's 2011 Grant Agreement. The Interim Rule increases the community's capacity to engage in strategic planning and program oversight through an increase in administrative allowances. The Interim Rule also shifts the focus of activities from emergency shelter to rapid re-housing by capping the amount of ESG funding that can be used for street outreach and shelter to 60% of the total ESG grant. Monroe County and the City of Rochester intend to budget the entirety of their 2011 ESG Second Allocations to rapid re-housing, homelessness prevention and administration. There will be no allocations for homeless assistance activities beyond those currently budgeted in the initial 2011 ESG allocations.

2. Summary of Citizen Participation Process

Monroe County followed its existing Citizen Participation Plan as outlined in the 2010-2015 Strategic Plan, as it applies to Amendments. A public notice regarding the 2011 ESG Second Allocation funds appeared in The Daily Record and The Messenger Post Newspapers on April 11, 2012. A public hearing was held on May 9, 2012 at 9:30 a.m. at the Gates Town Hall, 1605 Buffalo Road, Rochester, NY to obtain public comments and input on the Substantial Amendment to the Consolidated Plan 2011 Annual Action Plan.

The public hearing was attended by twelve (12) people, including six staff members from the Monroe County Community Development Division. There were no comments received relative to the 2011 Substantial Amendment.

The Substantial Amendment was made available during the 30-day public comment period from April 11, 2012 to May 11, 2012 on the Monroe County website at <http://www.monroecounty.gov>. Copies of this document were also made available for review at the May 9, 2012 public hearing and at the Department of Planning and Development, CityPlace, 50 West Main Street, Suite 8100, Rochester, NY 14614.

Special informational meetings have been held with key community stakeholders, including the Rochester/Monroe County Homeless CoC and the Homeless Services Network (HSN); a network consisting of more than 50 organizations providing housing and/or services for the homeless.

Public Comments Received

There were no public comments received for the 2011 Substantial Amendment during the 30-day public comment period.

Public Comments Not Accepted

N/A

3. Match Requirements

According to the ESG Interim Rule, recipients must match their 2011 ESG Second Allocation with an equal amount of other federal, state and local resources (cash and non-cash.) Monroe County and the City of Rochester propose to work collaboratively to allocate their 2011 ESG Second Allocation funds to the continuation of the Heading Home Program, which allocations total \$285,322 (Rochester \$237,918, Monroe County \$47,404.) Eligible match for the overall program has been identified and will be applied to each jurisdiction proportionally.

Below are the identified sources, uses and amounts of match resources for Monroe County's 2011 ESG Second Allocation of \$47,404.

Match Source	Description/Use	Amount Applied to Monroe County ESG Allocation
City of Rochester HOPWA	Short Term Rental Mortgage Utility (STRMU) funds allocated for financial assistance and case management services for individuals and families at risk of homelessness	\$15,784
City of Rochester CDBG - Eviction Prevention Legal Services	Funding for staff providing eviction prevention and legal services to Heading Home clients	7,476
City of Rochester CDBG - Tenant Education Services	Funding for tenant education services for those about to be sanctioned and lacking resources to secure housing	2,160
Monroe County CDBG – Expanding Housing Opportunities Program	Funding for counseling and referral services provided by the Housing Council relative to fair housing and landlord/tenant dispute resolution	4,569
The Housing Council	Homeless prevention and rapid re-housing services and support not covered by direct Heading Home funding such as the rental registry, landlord search, FHIP outreach and advisory and administrative staff support	11,692
Coordinated Care Services, Inc. (CCSI)	Administrative management of the Heading Home Program not covered by ESG	405
Rochester/Monroe County Continuum of Care	HMIS – CoC has contributed a total of 14 HMIS licenses and HMIS operating costs to facilitate Heading Home data entry and reporting	954
Monroe County Legal Aid Center	Funding that supports salaries for an attorney and paralegal at .25 FTE to provide services to Heading Home clients such as eviction prevention and benefits assistance	4,154
Monroe County Dept. of Human Services Office of Mental Health	Staff support (@ 4 hrs./mo.) for CoC co-chair assisting with planning and oversight of Heading Home Program	211
TOTAL		\$ 47,405

4. Proposed Activities and Overall Budget

Proposed Activities

Since November 2009, the City and County have jointly administered the HPRP Heading Home program. Heading Home offers a comprehensive system to provide rental assistance to individuals and families facing eviction and those who are homeless and without other resources. Supports and activities provided by Heading Home will be continued with 2011 ESG Second Allocation support. They include:

Homelessness Prevention:

- short term rental financial assistance (up to three months)
- medium term rental financial assistance (up to 18 months, but only in extraordinary circumstances)
- security deposits
- utility deposits and payments
- moving costs

Rapid Re-Housing - housing relocation and stabilization services including:

- outreach and engagement
- housing search and placement
- case management
- coordination with community providers for services including legal services, credit repair, etc.

Based on Heading Home's actual service delivery history, 2011 ESG Second Allocation continuation funding will provide both prevention and rapid re-housing services listed above. The goal will be to provide both services in equal proportion (i.e. 50% of services will be prevention; 50% will be rapid re-housing).

Corresponding priority needs from recipients Annual Action Plan

As identified in Monroe County's 2011 Annual Action Plan, priority activities are those that develop affordable rental and homeownership opportunities for all low to moderate-income residents, without discrimination; repair and conserve existing housing stock; improve access to and quality of public facilities; replace deteriorated infrastructure with safe utilities; provide job training and economic development opportunities for low-mod income persons and persons with special needs; provide essential public services, particularly those that promote homeownership, fair housing and housing stability; and revitalize deteriorated neighborhoods.

The specific priority needs and objectives identified in the Plan to expand the provision of affordable housing opportunities, housing stability and ensure fair housing choice are as follows:

- i) Develop additional rental units for low to moderate income elderly and handicapped/disabled households (with supportive services) and families.
- ii) Continue and enhance programs to assist low to moderate-income elderly, family and disabled homeowners to repair and maintain their homes, including accessibility improvements for those with disabilities.
- iii) Provide home ownership opportunities through the County's First-Time Homebuyer Program, including assistance to access the single family housing market for low to moderate-income families, particularly single headed households.

iv) Educate and counsel residents to facilitate homeownership and housing stability.

v) Assist homeless and at-risk persons, in accordance with the Homeless CoC Plan and the HPRP to secure supportive housing (emergency, transitional and permanent) and services necessary to achieve independent living.

vi) Support the preservation of existing affordable rental housing developments.

Additionally, Monroe County's 2010-2015 Strategic Plan identified the following homeless and homeless prevention priorities:

- A. Increase the supply of permanent, affordable housing projects, with supportive services for the chronically homeless (Includes Shelter Plus Care and Housing First projects)
- B. Increase the supply of permanent, affordable housing with support services for the homeless in general, and targeted toward special needs populations.
- C. Maintain current inventory of HUD funded homeless housing and services at current levels (renewal projects)
- D. Transitional housing for homeless special needs populations
- E. Support Services:
 - Increase capacity and access to comprehensive case management services from entry into the homeless system through follow up services in unsupported permanent housing
 - Employment/Job Placement services for persons with little or no employment history (including child care and transportation)
 - Improve access to and capacity of permanent, supportive housing programs
 - Outreach/Engagement services for the chronically homeless and frequent users of the homeless system

Concise description of the activities, including the number and types of persons to be served

Program activity will include homelessness prevention assistance to households who would otherwise become homeless and assistance to rapidly re-house persons who are homeless. Program activity will be focused on housing stabilization, providing the temporary financial assistance and other support services needed to help individuals achieve housing stability. It is intended to serve persons who: a) are homeless or would be homeless but for this assistance; and b) can remain stably housed after the time-limited assistance ends.

Program activities will include providing assistance in two categories:

Prevention: Short term financial assistance to avoid becoming homeless or to move to other housing

Rapid re-housing: Short term assistance to secure housing for participants who are literally homeless or residing in emergency or transitional housing

Assistance will include:

- Short Term Rental Assistance (3 months or less)
- Medium Term Rental Assistance (18 months or less and only in extraordinary circumstances)
- Security Deposit Payments
- Rental Arrears Payments
- Utility Payments
- Moving Cost Assistance
- Storage Fees

The total City and County ESG Heading Home program expects to provide these services to 200 families and 50 single individuals for a total of 250 participants served. Therefore, the ESG Heading Home Program participants attributed to the County 2011 ESG Second Allocation are 34 families and 8 single individuals for a total of 42. Program participant households will all have incomes at or below 30% of the Area Median Income (AMI).

Corresponding standard objectives and outcome categories

For all proposed ESG Heading Home Program activities the corresponding objective category is the provision of decent housing with the outcome categories of increasing the availability/accessibility, affordability and sustainability of housing for program participants.

Start and Completion Dates

All 2011 ESG Heading Home Program activities will begin on July 1, 2012 and funds are expected to be fully expended by December 31, 2012.

ESG and other funding amounts

The total City/County 2011 ESG Second Allocation is shown below:

	2nd Allocation 2011-2012	Admin*	Amount to Heading Home
City	\$237,918	\$28,418	\$209,500
County	47,404	5,661	\$41,743
Total	\$285,322	\$34,079	\$251,243
*Admin based on 7.5% of total 2011-2012 ESG Allocations less amounts already budgeted for first allocation (\$21,148 for City and \$4,214 County)			

See Page 5 for the sources and uses of program match that have been identified as other cash and non-cash resources that will be available to participants of the ESG Heading Home Program.

Performance indicators

A total of 250 households will receive financial assistance and housing stabilization services to prevent homelessness and provide rapid re-housing services through the joint City/County ESG Heading Home Program. Of these, it is expected that fifty percent (50%) will receive homelessness prevention services and fifty percent (50%) will receive rapid re-Housing services.

- 125 persons or households will be prevented from becoming homeless
- 125 persons or households will be assisted from shelters/streets into permanent housing

Evidence from the current City/County HPRP Heading Home Program indicates that the need for prevention services far outstrips the requests for rapid re-housing. Requests for prevention services in this area currently make up eighty-four percent (84%) of all program activity.

Projected accomplishments

Based on the current HPRP Heading Home Program experience and the requirements set out in the ESG Interim Rule, the following accomplishment goals have been identified:

- Increase the range of housing options and related services for program participants
- Increase knowledge of rights and responsibilities through Tenant Education for 70% of program participants
- Increase the number of program participants stably housed at 12 months to 75%
- Reduce participant transiency by providing opportunities for safe and affordable housing
- Reduce the number of participants who have received prevention services from re-entering local shelter programs to 20% or less
- Prevent rapid re-housing participants from re-entering shelters

Funding priorities

As recognized in the current City/County HPRP Heading Home's "2010 Homelessness Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program: Year 1 Summary," the anticipation was that the persons receiving each type of assistance would be split evenly. Data in the APRs, however, indicated that of the persons served in the first year, approximately 78.2% received homelessness prevention assistance and 24.2% received rapid re-housing assistance.

This has also been the experience of the local community through the efforts of the HPRP Heading Home Program. The call for homelessness prevention dollars far exceeded the requests for Rapid-Re-Housing assistance.

However, funding priorities identified in the ESG Interim Rule will promote the increased use of program funds to facilitate rapid re-housing. Therefore, program funds supporting both activities have been split evenly between rapid re-housing and homelessness prevention. In order to reach the population in need of rapid re-housing services and supports, the Heading Home staff will pursue the following strategies: out-station staff at each of the homeless shelters on a rotating schedule to ensure that shelter staff and clients are aware of Heading Home services; distribute applications; conduct eligibility interviews and provide housing relocation services at these sites. In addition, staff will attend all HSN meetings and any other relevant gatherings to ensure that everyone in the community that is working with the homeless is aware of Heading Home services.

Detailed Budget

MONROE COUNTY FY 2011 Detailed Budget Table					
First Allocation	\$84,273.00	FY 2011			
Second Allocation	\$47,404.00	Emergency Shelter Grants/Emergency Solutions Grant			
Grant Amount	\$131,677.00	Program Allocations			
Total Administration	\$9,875.78				
		First Allocation		Second Allocation	Total Fiscal Year 2011
	Eligible Activities	Activity Amount	Reprogrammed Amount	Activity Amount	Activity Amount
Emergency Shelter Grants Program	Homeless Assistance	\$58,159.00	\$0.00		\$58,159.00
	Rehab/Conversion				\$0.00
	Operations	\$58,159.00			\$58,159.00
	Essential Services				\$0.00
	Homelessness Prevention	\$21,900.00			\$21,900.00
	Administration	\$4,214.00			\$4,214.00
Emergency Shelter Grants Subtotal		\$84,273.00	\$0.00		\$84,273.00
Emergency Solutions Grants Program	Emergency Shelter**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	Renovation**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	Operation**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	Essential Service**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	URA Assistance**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	Street Outreach - Essential Services**			\$0.00	\$0.00
	HMIS				\$0.00
	Rapid Re-housing		\$0.00	\$20,871.50	\$20,871.50
	Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services			\$8,050.00	\$8,050.00
	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance			\$12,821.50	\$12,821.50
	Project-Based Rental Assistance				\$0.00
	Homelessness Prevention		\$0.00	\$20,871.50	\$20,871.50
	Housing Relocation and Stabilization Services			\$8,050.00	\$8,050.00
	Tenant-Based Rental Assistance			\$12,821.50	\$12,821.50
	Project-Based Rental Assistance				\$0.00
	Administration			\$5,661.00	\$5,661.00
Emergency Solutions Grants Subtotal			\$0.00	\$47,404.00	\$47,404.00
		Total Grant Amount:			\$131,677.00
**Allowable only if the amount obligated for homeless assistance activities using funds from the first allocation is less than the expenditure limit for emergency shelter and street outreach activities (see Section III.B. of this Notice).					

5. Written Standards for Provision of Assistance

Policies and procedures for evaluating those eligible for assistance

Each household will receive an initial consultation and eligibility assessment with a case manager or other authorized representative who can determine eligibility and the appropriate type of assistance needed

- The household's total income must be at or below 30 percent of Area Median Income (AMI);
- The household must be either homeless (to receive rapid re-housing assistance) OR at risk of losing its housing (to receive homelessness prevention assistance);

AND must meet the following circumstances:

- No appropriate subsequent housing options have been identified;
- The household lacks the financial resources to obtain immediate housing or remain in its existing housing; and
- The household lacks support networks needed to obtain immediate housing or remain in its existing housing.

Documentation requirements:

- Verification/proof of income
- SSN for head of household
- Verification of address/current living situation
- Verification of household size
- Some documentation of the problem(s) that led to the need for assistance as determined by the case manager (i.e.; proof of medical bills, loss of income, etc.)

Standards for the Heading Home program have been developed in accordance with "*Eligibility Determination and Documentation Guidance - Homeless Prevention and Rapid Re-Housing Program (HPRP), HUD, revised March 17, 2010.*" These standards include:

- Eligibility requirements: Initial consultation/assessment and determination of income and housing status; access to alternative housing options
- Documentation: written or oral third party verification; applicant self-declaration

Performance Standards for Heading Home eligibility are as follows:

- Initial Consultation and Eligibility Determination: each applicant household must receive at least an initial consultation and eligibility assessment with a case manager or other authorized representative who can determine eligibility and the appropriate type of assistance needed
- Income: the household total income must be at or below 30% of Area Median Income (AMI)
- Housing Status: the household must be either literally homeless or at risk of losing housing within 14 days AND must meet the following circumstances: no appropriate subsequent housing options have been identified; the household lacks the financial resources to obtain immediate housing or remain in its existing housing, and the household lacks support networks needed to obtain immediate housing or remain in existing housing

Performance Standards for Documentation are as follows:

- Housing status: written verification of sleeping in a shelter, sleeping in a place not meant for human habitation, being a patient in a hospital or other institution, being a participant in a transitional housing program, being a victim of domestic violence
- Homelessness prevention eligibility: written verification of potential loss of housing due to non-payment of rent and/or other lease violations, utility non-payment, non-payment of hotel/motel charges, foreclosure on rental or owner-occupied property, eviction of host family/friends, uninhabitable conditions, or discharge from an institution
- Housing options/resources: written documentation must be provided for other subsequent housing options, financial resources (e.g. wages and salary, self-employment/business, interest/dividend, pension/retirement, unemployment/disability, TANF/public assistance, alimony/child support/foster care, armed forces income) and support networks

Policies and procedures for coordination among providers

Staff meetings will be held on a monthly basis to discuss program logistics and processes. Periodically, meetings of all participating agencies will be held to ensure effective ongoing management and provide updates on any new program developments, including HUD regulation updates.

Meetings with the City of Rochester and Monroe County will take place on a monthly basis. These sessions are intended to provide an update on project status, outcomes to date, and to allow for discussion and resolution of any project issues.

Additionally, case managers will be meeting clients weekly in community-based organizations (CBO), as well as in shelters. CBO and shelter staff will be routinely engaged in conversations and will be requested to participate in any process to assist the program in meeting the needs of the people and families it serves.

Policies and procedures for determining and prioritizing type of assistance

Below are the procedures for determining and prioritizing eligible families and individuals by the type of assistance requested:

Prevention High Priority Risk Factors

- Eviction within two weeks
- Sudden and significant loss of income
- Sudden and significant increase in utility costs
- Severe housing cost burden (greater than 50% of income)
- High overcrowding
- Recent traumatic life event (loss of spouse or recent health crisis)
- Significant medical debt

Rapid Re-Housing High priority Risk Factors:

- Sleeping in an emergency shelter
- Sleeping on the streets, etc.
- Graduating from a transitional shelter
- Victim of domestic violence

Standards for determining share of rent and utilities each participant must pay

Under the ESG Heading Home Program, participants will not be required to contribute toward payments provided by the program. Counseling and case management services will focus on maintaining stable housing after program financial assistance ends.

Standards for determining how long rent assistance and how amount is adjusted over time

To avoid excessive funding of individual households, which will limit the number of households that can be served by the program; and in order to respond to the variety of circumstances that may create or threaten homelessness, and with a strong emphasis on serving those most in need of assistance, it has been determined that this program would benefit most from limiting the services provided to one-time only.

Current HPRP Heading Home Program funds do not support the long-term maintenance of any person or family. It will be the procedure of the ESG Heading Home Program to provide short-term assistance for individuals and families to help them obtain/maintain housing until a longer-term or permanent subsidy becomes available.

Total benefit amounts will be made on a case-by-case basis.

However:

- Payments cannot duplicate other subsidy programs
- All other sources of assistance in the community must be exhausted
- Potential DHS recipients will be referred there prior to acceptance in the program

Standards for determining type, amount and duration of stabilization and/or relocation assistance

The types of assistance provided will be determined on a case-by-case basis by the case manager with consultation with the program manager under the guidance of the director of finance and will reflect program dollars available, number of clients served to date, and will recognize the established program end date.

Specific guidance and details are offered below by type of assistance:

- Rental assistance: amount of rent assistance cannot exceed the actual amount of rent and must comply with the HUD rent reasonableness and affordability standards
- Short-term rental assistance: cannot exceed 3 months
- Medium-term rental assistance: cannot exceed 18 months and must be reviewed every three months. This option will be used in extraordinary circumstances only
- Security deposits: cannot exceed rent reasonableness standards as established by HUD
- Rental arrears: cannot exceed rent reasonableness standards
- Utility payments: will follow local DHS/HEAP guidelines
- Moving cost assistance: will be reviewed on a case-by-case basis - A local moving company partnership has been established and estimates are provided prior to move
- Storage fees: maximum of 3 months

6. Process for Making Sub-Awards

The decision to use 2011 ESG Second Allocation to provide continued funding for Heading Home is based on community need and the success of the current HPRP Heading Home Program. To ensure continuity and provision of Heading Home services without interruption, the City and County propose to retain CCSI as the lead agency based on their response to the joint RFP that they responded to when the HPRP Heading Home program was created. There will be no other sub-awards made with the 2011 ESG Second Allocation funds.

7. Homeless Participation Requirement

In compliance with Section 576.405(a) of the Interim Rule, the County and City have engaged persons who are currently or formerly homeless in their planning process. The Co-Chair of the Rochester/Monroe County CoC is a formerly homeless individual. In addition to serving as CoC Co-Chair, he has been substantially involved in planning for the use of the 2011 ESG Second Allocation funding. Additionally, there is a second formerly homeless individual on the CoC Team, as well as one member of the Homeless Services Network, who have also been involved in the planning process for ESG funding.

8. Performance Standards

Performance standards for ESG recipients are required by HUD and have been developed in consultation with the CoC. The purpose of performance standards is to provide a measure for the ESG recipient and the CoC to evaluate each ESG service provider's effectiveness. It is anticipated that the County's performance standards for ESG activities will continue to evolve over time and will be developed to complement the CoC program performance measures.

Specific performance standards that will be applied to the ESG Heading Home Program are based on the proposed accomplishments of:

- Increase the range of housing options and related services for program participants
- Increase knowledge of rights and responsibilities through Tenant Education for 70% of program participants
- Increase the number of program participants stably housed at 12 months to 75%
- Reduce participant transiency by providing opportunities for safe and affordable housing
- Reduce the number of participants who have received prevention services from re-entering local shelter programs to 20% or less
- Prevent rapid re-housing participants from re-entering shelters

Requirements for Optional Changes to FY2011 Annual Action Plan

1. Centralized or Coordinated Assessment System

By centralizing intake and program admissions decisions, a coordinated entry process makes it more likely that families will be served by the right intervention more quickly. (*One Way In: The Advantages of Introducing a System-Wide Coordinated Entry for Homeless Families* - National Alliance to End Homelessness) The City of Rochester engaged the services of consultants Dennis Culhane and Suzanne Wagner to assist the community in developing and implementing a Single Point of Access System (SPOA) for homeless services. The consultants have worked with the CoC, providers and stakeholders to develop a system based on the specific needs of the Rochester/Monroe County community. An update on the progress was presented at the HSN meeting on February 15, 2012. The focus of the SPOA system will be to ensure that eligible persons and priority groups are served, clients' needs and the services received are consistent and stewardship of community resources. Common elements of the SPOA will include an

application/assessment process, eligibility/intake standards, eligibility determination process, prioritization of needs, housing referral process and oversight to ensure compliance. The providers, stakeholders, the CoC, HMIS, City and County continue to work with the consultants to develop a system tailored to community need.

2. Monitoring

The City and County continually monitor the performance of all HUD-funded housing and homeless programs to ensure that they are carried out in accordance with applicable laws and regulations and to ensure that performance goals are achieved.

It is the intention of Monroe County and the City of Rochester to provide extensive joint and cooperative oversight of the ESG Heading Home Program, similar to the manner in which monitoring has been conducted on the HPRP program.

CERTIFICATIONS

In accordance with the applicable statutes and the regulations governing the consolidated plan regulations, the jurisdiction certifies that:

Affirmatively Further Fair Housing -- The jurisdiction will affirmatively further fair housing, which means it will conduct an analysis of impediments to fair housing choice within the jurisdiction, take appropriate actions to overcome the effects of any impediments identified through that analysis, and maintain records reflecting that analysis and actions in this regard.

Anti-displacement and Relocation Plan -- It will comply with the acquisition and relocation requirements of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970, as amended, and implementing regulations at 49 CFR 24; and it has in effect and is following a residential antidisplacement and relocation assistance plan required under section 104(d) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended, in connection with any activity assisted with funding under the CDBG or HOME programs.

Drug Free Workplace -- It will or will continue to provide a drug-free workplace by:

1. Publishing a statement notifying employees that the unlawful manufacture, distribution, dispensing, possession, or use of a controlled substance is prohibited in the grantee's workplace and specifying the actions that will be taken against employees for violation of such prohibition;
2. Establishing an ongoing drug-free awareness program to inform employees about -
 - (a) The dangers of drug abuse in the workplace;
 - (b) The grantee's policy of maintaining a drug-free workplace;
 - (c) Any available drug counseling, rehabilitation, and employee assistance programs; and
 - (d) The penalties that may be imposed upon employees for drug abuse violations occurring in the workplace;
3. Making it a requirement that each employee to be engaged in the performance of the grant be given a copy of the statement required by paragraph 1;
4. Notifying the employee in the statement required by paragraph 1 that, as a condition of employment under the grant, the employee will -
 - (a) Abide by the terms of the statement; and
 - (b) Notify the employer in writing of his or her conviction for a violation of a criminal drug statute occurring in the workplace no later than five calendar days after such conviction;
5. Notifying the agency in writing, within ten calendar days after receiving notice under subparagraph 4(b) from an employee or otherwise receiving actual notice of such conviction. Employers of convicted employees must provide notice, including position title, to every grant officer or other designee on whose grant activity the convicted employee was working, unless the Federal agency has designated a central point for the receipt of such notices. Notice shall include the identification number(s) of each affected grant;

Specific CDBG Certifications

The Entitlement Community certifies that:

Citizen Participation -- It is in full compliance and following a detailed citizen participation plan that satisfies the requirements of 24 CFR 91.105.

Community Development Plan -- Its consolidated housing and community development plan identifies community development and housing needs and specifies both short-term and long-term community development objectives that provide decent housing, expand economic opportunities primarily for persons of low and moderate income. (See CFR 24 570.2 and CFR 24 part 570)

Following a Plan -- It is following a current consolidated plan (or Comprehensive Housing Affordability Strategy) that has been approved by HUD.

Use of Funds -- It has complied with the following criteria:

1. Maximum Feasible Priority. With respect to activities expected to be assisted with CDBG funds, it certifies that it has developed its Action Plan so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which benefit low and moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. The Action Plan may also include activities which the grantee certifies are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular urgency because existing conditions pose a serious and immediate threat to the health or welfare of the community, and other financial resources are not available);
2. Overall Benefit. The aggregate use of CDBG funds including section 108 guaranteed loans during program year(s) 2011 , 2012 (a period specified by the grantee consisting of one, two, or three specific consecutive program years), shall principally benefit persons of low and moderate income in a manner that ensures that at least 70 percent of the amount is expended for activities that benefit such persons during the designated period;
3. Special Assessments. It will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds including Section 108 loan guaranteed funds by assessing any amount against properties owned and occupied by persons of low and moderate income, including any fee charged or assessment made as a condition of obtaining access to such public improvements.

However, if CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of a fee or assessment that relates to the capital costs of public improvements (assisted in part with CDBG funds) financed from other revenue sources, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds.

The jurisdiction will not attempt to recover any capital costs of public improvements assisted with CDBG funds, including Section 108, unless CDBG funds are used to pay the proportion of fee or assessment attributable to the capital costs of public improvements financed from other revenue sources. In this case, an assessment or charge may be made against the property with respect to the public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds. Also, in the case of properties owned and occupied by moderate-income (not low-income) families, an assessment or charge may be made against the property for public improvements financed by a source other than CDBG funds if the jurisdiction certifies that it lacks CDBG funds to cover the assessment.

Excessive Force -- It has adopted and is enforcing:

1. A policy prohibiting the use of excessive force by law enforcement agencies within its

jurisdiction against any individuals engaged in non-violent civil rights demonstrations; and

2. A policy of enforcing applicable State and local laws against physically barring entrance to or exit from a facility or location which is the subject of such non-violent civil rights demonstrations within its jurisdiction;

Compliance With Anti-discrimination laws -- The grant will be conducted and administered in conformity with title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (42 USC 2000d), the Fair Housing Act (42 USC 3601-3619), and implementing regulations.

Lead-Based Paint -- Its activities concerning lead-based paint will comply with the requirements of 24 CFR Part 35, subparts A, B, J, K and R;

Compliance with Laws -- It will comply with applicable laws.


Signature/Authorized Official


Date

County Executive

Title

ESG Certifications

The Emergency Solutions Grants Program Recipient certifies that:

Major rehabilitation/conversion – If an emergency shelter’s rehabilitation costs exceed 75 percent of the value of the building before rehabilitation, the jurisdiction will maintain the building as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or family after the completed rehabilitation. If the cost to convert a building into an emergency shelter exceeds 75 percent of the value of the building after conversion, the jurisdiction will maintain the building as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 10 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or family after the completed conversion. In all other cases where ESG funds are used for renovation, the jurisdiction will maintain the building as a shelter for homeless individuals and families for a minimum of 3 years after the date the building is first occupied by a homeless individual or family after the completed renovation.

Essential Services and Operating Costs – In the case of assistance involving shelter operations or essential services related to street outreach or emergency shelter, the jurisdiction will provide services or shelter to homeless individuals and families for the period during which the ESG assistance is provided, without regard to a particular site or structure, so long the jurisdiction serves the same type of persons (e.g., families with children, unaccompanied youth, disabled individuals, or victims of domestic violence) or persons in the same geographic area.

Renovation – Any renovation carried out with ESG assistance shall be sufficient to ensure that the building involved is safe and sanitary.

Supportive Services – The jurisdiction will assist homeless individuals in obtaining permanent housing, appropriate supportive services (including medical and mental health treatment, victim services, counseling, supervision, and other services essential for achieving independent living), and other Federal State, local, and private assistance available for such individuals.

Matching Funds – The jurisdiction will obtain matching amounts required under 24 CFR 576.201.

Confidentiality – The jurisdiction has established and is implementing procedures to ensure the confidentiality of records pertaining to any individual provided family violence prevention or treatment services under any project assisted under the ESG program, including protection against the release of the address or location of any family violence shelter project, except with the written authorization of the person responsible for the operation of that shelter.

Homeless Persons Involvement – To the maximum extent practicable, the jurisdiction will involve, through employment, volunteer services, or otherwise, homeless individuals and families in constructing, renovating, maintaining, and operating facilities assisted under the ESG program, in providing services assisted under the ESG program, and in providing services for occupants of facilities assisted under the program.

Consolidated Plan – All activities the jurisdiction undertakes with assistance under ESG are consistent with the jurisdiction’s consolidated plan.

Discharge Policy – The jurisdiction will establish and implement, to the maximum extent practicable and where appropriate policies and protocols for the discharge of persons from

APPENDIX TO CERTIFICATIONS

INSTRUCTIONS CONCERNING LOBBYING AND DRUG-FREE WORKPLACE REQUIREMENTS:

A. Lobbying Certification

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure.

B. Drug-Free Workplace Certification

1. By signing and/or submitting this application or grant agreement, the grantee is providing the certification.
2. The certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance is placed when the agency awards the grant. If it is later determined that the grantee knowingly rendered a false certification, or otherwise violates the requirements of the Drug-Free Workplace Act, HUD, in addition to any other remedies available to the Federal Government, may take action authorized under the Drug-Free Workplace Act.
3. Workplaces under grants, for grantees other than individuals, need not be identified on the certification. If known, they may be identified in the grant application. If the grantee does not identify the workplaces at the time of application, or upon award, if there is no application, the grantee must keep the identity of the workplace(s) on file in its office and make the information available for Federal inspection. Failure to identify all known workplaces constitutes a violation of the grantee's drug-free workplace requirements.
4. Workplace identifications must include the actual address of buildings (or parts of buildings) or other sites where work under the grant takes place. Categorical descriptions may be used (e.g., all vehicles of a mass transit authority or State highway department while in operation, State employees in each local unemployment office, performers in concert halls or radio stations).
5. If the workplace identified to the agency changes during the performance of the grant, the grantee shall inform the agency of the change(s), if it previously identified the workplaces in question (see paragraph three).
6. The grantee may insert in the space provided below the site(s) for the performance of work done in connection with the specific grant:

Place of Performance (Street address, city, county, state, zip code)

Various locations

Check if there are workplaces on file that are not identified here.

This information with regard to the drug-free workplace is required by 24 CFR part 21.

7. Definitions of terms in the Nonprocurement Suspension and Debarment common rule and Drug-Free Workplace common rule apply to this certification. Grantees' attention is called, in particular, to the following definitions from these rules:

"Controlled substance" means a controlled substance in Schedules I through V of the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 812) and as further defined by regulation (21 CFR 1308.11 through 1308.15);

"Conviction" means a finding of guilt (including a plea of nolo contendere) or imposition of sentence, or both, by any judicial body charged with the responsibility to determine violations of the Federal or State criminal drug statutes;

"Criminal drug statute" means a Federal or non-Federal criminal statute involving the manufacture, distribution, dispensing, use, or possession of any controlled substance;

"Employee" means the employee of a grantee directly engaged in the performance of work under a grant, including: (i) All "direct charge" employees; (ii) all "indirect charge" employees unless their impact or involvement is insignificant to the performance of the grant; and (iii) temporary personnel and consultants who are directly engaged in the performance of work under the grant and who are on the grantee's payroll. This definition does not include workers not on the payroll of the grantee (e.g., volunteers, even if used to meet a matching requirement; consultants or independent contractors not on the grantee's payroll; or employees of subrecipients or subcontractors in covered workplaces).